SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	- x	
MARGARET STEINHOFF et ux., MICHAEL STEINHOFF,	:	
Plaintiffs,	: :	<b>No.:</b> 1:08-cv-03074-GBD
-against-	: :	DECLARATION OF
MERCK & CO., INC.,	: :	VILIA B. HAYES
Defendant.	:	
	- X	

### VILIA B. HAYES declares as follows:

- I am an attorney admitted to practice before this Court and a member with the firm of Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP, attorneys for defendant Merck & Co., Inc. ("Merck"). As such, I am fully familiar with the facts set forth herein. I make this declaration based on my own personal knowledge and the business records of the Firm.
- 2. I make this declaration in support of Defendant's Combined Reply

  Memorandum in Support of Motion to Stay, and in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the Memorandum and Order issued in *Aguilar v. Merck & Co., Inc.*, No. 05-CV-4865 (SJ) (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 22, 2005).
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the transcript of the Status Conference held in *In re VIOXX Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 1657, before the Honorable Eldon E. Fallon on June 23, 2005.
- 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of the letter from the JPML to the Honorable Ricardo H. Hinojosa, dated March 21, 2005.

- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the March 6, 2008 Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice Against Pfizer Defendants.
- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of Case

  Management Order 6 in *In re: New York Bextra and Celebrex Product Liability Litigation*, dated

  August 14, 2006.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of the Plaintiff
  Profile Form submitted to Merck by Margaret Steinhoff, dated October 11, 2007.
- 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit G are true and correct copies of excerpts from Margaret Steinhoff's medical records as submitted by Margaret Steinhoff to Merck.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

VILIA B. HAYES

Executed this all day of April, 2008

Exhibit A

Case 1:05-cv-04865-SJ-RLM Document 16 Filed 11/22/2005 Page 1 of 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

EVERARDO S. AGUILAR,

Plaintiff,

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

-against-

05-CV-4865 (SJ)

MERCK & CO., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

# ROANNE L. MANN, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE:

Currently pending before this Court is an application by defendant Merck & Co., Inc. ("defendant" or "Merck") to stay this action pending a decision by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("the MDL Panel") whether to transfer the case as a "tag-along" action to In re VIOXX Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 1657, an MDL action pending in the Eastern District of Louisiana. Plaintiff Everardo S. Aguilar ("plaintiff" or "Aguilar") has consented to stay discovery only, has cross-moved to remand the case to state court and opposes any stay of that motion.

For the reasons that follow, defendant's motion to stay this action is granted in its entirety, and the motion to remand is deferred until the issue of transfer is resolved by the MDL Panel.

#### BACKGROUND

On September 21, 2005, plaintiff commenced this action in New York State Supreme Court, Queens County, against Merck (the manufacturer of the prescription drug Vioxx) and a series of medical providers who are alleged to have improperly prescribed Vioxx to plaintiff.

Merck removed the action to this Court on October 17, 2005, on the basis of diversity

jurisdiction, contending that the non-diverse medical providers were fraudulently joined in order to defeat diversity jurisdiction.

On October 31, 2005, Merck moved to stay all further proceedings in this district pending a decision by the MDL Panel on whether the case should be transferred to the MDL Court in the Eastern District of Louisiana pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.¹ On November 7, 2005, the MDL Panel issued its thirtieth conditional transfer order of tag-along cases, which, absent an objection within 15 days, would transfer this case and others to the MDL Court. See 11/15/05 Letter to the Court from Vilia B. Hayes and Conditional Transfer Order (CTO-30), appended thereto.² That same day, plaintiff moved in this district to remand the instant action to state court. See Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for an Order Remanding this Matter to State Court ("Pl. Mem."). Plaintiff resists having the remand motion deferred until after the MDL Panel has transferred the case. See id. at 5-7; Plaintiff's Partial Opposition to Merck's Motion for Stay.

### **DISCUSSION**

As plaintiff correctly contends (see Pl. Mem. at 5), the pendency of a request to transfer a case to an MDL proceeding does not divest the transferor court of the authority to resolve a motion to remand the case to state court. See, e.g., JPML R. 1.5; Evans v. Merck & Co., Inc.,

The MDL Panel established the MDL proceeding on February 16, 2005. See In re VIOXX Prods. Liab. Litig. (MDL 1657), 360 F.Supp.2d 1352 (J.P.M.L. Feb. 16, 2005). To date, more than 2,500 cases have been transferred to or filed directly in the MDL proceedings. See Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion of Defendant Merck & Co., Inc. to Stay All Proceedings Pending a Decision on Transfer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This Court has no information as to whether plaintiff has filed with the MDL Panel an objection to transfer.

Case 1:05-cv-04865-SJ-RLM Document 16 Filed 11/22/2005 Page 3 of 6

No. 05-1323-T/AN, 2005 WL 3008643, at \*1 (W.D. Tenn. Nov. 9, 2005). Even after the MDL Panel has issued a conditional transfer order, the transferor court retains the discretion to grant or deny a motion to stay consideration of a jurisdictional challenge. See id.; North v. Merck & Co., Inc., No. 05-CV-6475L, 2005 WL 2921638, at \*1 (W.D.N.Y. Nov. 4, 2005). The jurisdiction of the transferor court does not end until the order of the MDL Panel transferring the case has been filed with the MDL Court. See David F. Herr, Annotated Manual for Complex Litigation § 20.131 (4th ed. 2004) (hereinafter "Manual for Complex Litigation").

In connection with the Vioxx litigation, the MDL Panel has expressly observed that "[t]he pendency of a motion to remand to state court is not a sufficient basis to avoid inclusion in Section 1407 proceedings." In re VIOXX Prods. Liab. Litig., 360 F.Supp.2d 1352, 1354 (J.P.M.L. Feb. 16, 2005). Indeed, in establishing the Vioxx MDL, the Panel transferred to the MDL Court two actions with pending motions to remand to state court. See id. at 1353-54. According to the MDL Panel, the motions to remand in those two cases, "as well as in any other MDL-1657 actions[,] can be presented to and decided by the transferee judge." Id. at 1354 (citing In re Ivy, 901 F.2d 7 (2d Cir. 1990)).

The Panel's decision is consistent with the law in the Second Circuit, where the "preferable practice" in MDL litigation is to allow the transferee court to resolve jurisdictional issues that implicate common questions of law and fact arising in numerous cases. Medical Soc'y v. Conn. Gen. Corp., 187 F.Supp.2d 89, 91 (S.D.N.Y. 2001) (citing Ivy, 901 F.2d 7); see, e.g., North, 2005 WL 2921638, at \*1 (noting, in Vioxx case, that the Second Circuit has adopted the "general rule" of deferring decisions on remand motions until after the MDL Panel has transferred the case); DeBono v. American Home Prods. Corp., No. 04 Civ. 3810(DC), 2005 WL Case 1:05-cv-04865-SJ-RLM Document 16 Filed 11/22/2005 Page 4 of 6

2601177, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 16, 2004) ("[T]he Second Circuit has observed that an MDL Court is often best suited to resolve remand motions, especially when the issues involved are likely to recur."). As the Second Circuit observed in <u>lvy</u>, where the jurisdictional issue "is easily capable of arising in hundreds or even thousands of cases in district courts throughout the nation," and "involves common questions of law and fact," "[c]onsistency as well as economy is ... served" by having the jurisdictional objections "heard and resolved by a single court ...." Ivy, 901 F.2d at 9; see Manual for Complex Litigation § 20.131 ("[T]he pendency of motions raising questions common to related actions can itself be an additional justification for transfer,").

The aforesaid general rule should be followed in the instant case. Merck has challenged the joinder of doctors and/or pharmacies in other Vioxx cases in this circuit, and the plaintiffs' motions to remand have been deferred until after transfer to the MDL litigation, on the ground that "the issues raised in plaintiff's remand motion are not unique to this case." North, 2005 WL 2921638, at \*2; Krieger v. Merck & Co., Inc., No. 05-CV-6338L, 2005 WL 2921640, at \*2 (W.D.N.Y. Nov. 4, 2005); see also Evans, 2005 WL 3008643, at \*1 ("[T]he jurisdictional issues raised in this case are similar to those raised in other [Vioxx] cases that have been or will be transferred to the MDL proceeding."); Walker v. Merck & Co., Inc., No. 05-CV-360-DRH, 2005 WL 1565839, at \* 2 (S.D. Ill. June 22, 2005) ("[1]t is almost certain that the transferee court will hear and decide many of the same issues Plaintiffs ask this Court to tackle in ruling on their motion to remand."). To be sure, a number of Vioxx decisions from outside this circuit have

Case 1:05-cv-04865-SJ-RLM Document 16 Filed 11/22/2005 Page 5 of 6

Having considered these decisions and the parties' arguments, this Court concludes "that having the jurisdictional issues decided in one proceeding will promote judicial economy and conserve judicial resources," <a href="Evans">Evans</a>, 2005 WL 3008643, at \*2, and will minimize "the risk of inconsistent rulings . . . ." <a href="North">North</a>, 2005 WL 2921638, at \*2 n.2 (quoting <a href="Purcell v. Merck & Co.">Purcell v. Merck & Co.</a>, No. 05 CV 0443-L(BLM), slip. op. at 4-5 (S.D. Cal. June 6, 2005) (Hayes Decl. Ex. B)); <a href="See North">See</a> <a href="North">North</a>, 2005 WL 2921638, at \*2 ("I agree with Merck that the objectives of the MDL process—namely the avoidance of inconsistent rulings and the conservation of judicial resources—are best

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, e.g., Plubell v. Merck & Co., Inc., No. 05-0831-CV-W-HFS, 2005 WL 2739036 (W.D. Mo. Oct. 20, 2005); Rabe v. Merck & Co., Inc., Nos. Civ. 05-363-GPM, 05-378-GPM, 2005 WL 2094741 (S.D. Ill. Aug. 25, 2005); Kantner v. Merck & Co., Inc., No. 1:04CV2044-JDT-TAB, 2005 WL 277688, at \*3 (S.D. Ind. Jan. 26, 2005).

Case 1:05-cy-04865-SJ-RLM Document 16 Filed 11/22/2005 Page 6 of 6

met by allowing the MDL Court to decide plaintiff's motion to remand."). "[A]ny prejudice to the plaintiff resulting from a stay would be minimal," Evans, 2005 WL 3008643, at \*2, and "does not outweigh the judicial economy interests" served by granting a stay. Walker, 2005 WL 1565839, at \*2; see North, 2005 WL 2921638, at \*2; Krieger, 2005 WL 2921640, at \*2. Therefore, Merck's motion for a stay is granted in its entirety.

# **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Merck's motion to stay this proceeding pending the MDL Panel's decision on transfer is granted and plaintiff's cross-motion to remand is deferred until the issue of transfer has been resolved.

-6-

#### SO ORDERED.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York November 22, 2005

> ROANNE L. MANN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Exhibit B

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA 2 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 3 4 5 IN RE: VIOXX PRODUCTS Docket MDL 1657-L 6 LIABILITY LITIGATION June 23, 2005 7 9:30 a.m. 8 9 10 STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE 11 HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 12 13 APPEARANCES: 14 For the Plaintiffs: Seeger Weiss 15 BY: CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER, ESQ. One William Street 16 New York, New York 10004 17 For the Defendants: Stone Pigman Walther Wittmann BY: PHILLIP A. WITTMANN, ESQ. 18 546 Carondelet Street 19 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 20 Official Court Reporter: Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR 21 500 Poydras Street, Room B-406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 22 (504) 589-7778 23 24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript 25 produced by computer.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

## PROCEEDINGS

(June 23, 2005)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise.

THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen. Call the case, please.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 1657, In Re: Vioxx.

THE COURT: Counsel, make your appearances for the record.

MR. SEEGER: Good morning, Your Honor. Chris Seeger for the plaintiffs. I'm going to be playing Russ Herman today.

MR. WITTMANN: Phil Wittmann, Your Honor, liaison counsel for the defendants.

THE COURT: I understand we have some counsel on the phone. Who is that?

MS. SOTOODEH: Pamela Sotoodeh in Chicago.

MS. KOPELMAN: Richard Kopelman of Decatur, Georgia.

THE COURT: Good morning. This is our monthly status conference. I have received from the liaison counsel the proposed agenda. We will take the items in order. The first is LexisNexis File & Serve. Let me have a report on that.

MR. SEEGER: Judge, we continue to have problems with LexisNexis. We are having problems getting documents posted and served. On the other end, they're having problems identifying firms that are already previously registered. have got some calls set up with them to try to work through

EXHIBIT C PAGE 18

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 3

some of these issues. We are hoping to be able to do that.

THE COURT: Let's get them in person in the court. Let's do it next week. I will get my staff to give you a day. I would like to see LexisNexis here, the president of the company, or somebody who can talk with me about any problems. I would like you all present to get this resolved. We need to go on line as quickly as possible. We don't have time to have to rely on surface mail. There are too many people in this case. It really needs to be worked out.

I'm a little disappointed because LexisNexis just took this over. I've had some experience with the people from whom they bought this company and we didn't have any problems with the prior group. I don't know why LexisNexis -a bigger outfit, more resources -- is having problems when the earlier group did not, so maybe they can help me understand that.

MR. SEEGER: We will make arrangements to bring them in.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. WITTMANN: Yes, Your Honor. We have not had problems with LexisNexis. It seems, from the defendants' standpoint, to be working okay. The few issues that have come up, we have dealt directly with their representatives and they have been responsive to our requests, so we are satisfied. It's going okay from our standpoint.

EXHIBIT C PAGE 19

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If the Court please, we might give the folks a statistical background on what's happening in the MDL at this point. As of June 15, we had 907 cases in the MDL. Although some of those cases have not yet been served on Merck, they are here, 907 of them. There are over 700 Vioxx products liability cases served and pending in federal court but not yet here. There are over 170 cases served and pending in state courts other than New Jersey and California. There are over 1,900 cases served and pending in the New Jersey consolidated proceeding. There are approximately 180 cases pending in the California state court, and those cases involve approximately 1,000 plaintiffs. That's our statistical update.

THE COURT: What about the numbers of class actions? MR. WITTMANN: Class actions I believe are 118. That's up about eight from our last status conference. We either have provided or will provide copies of the additional class action complaints to plaintiffs' counsel. Their deadline for filing the master complaint, incidentally, is August 1.

THE COURT: Trial settings.

The first case that MR. WITTMANN: Yes, Your Honor. we know that's set for trial is the Ernst case in Brazaoria County, Texas, on July 11, 2005. We have a case in New Jersey, the Humeston case, which is set on September 12, 2005. The Guerra case is set for trial in Hidalgo County, Texas, on September 19, 2005. The Zajicek case is set for trial in

3

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Jackson County, Texas, on September 26, 2005, but we are told that's likely to be continued over to the first quarter of The Tomlin case is set for trial in Florida in St. Lucie County sometime between October 3 and December 30, 2005. That exact date has not been fixed yet. In addition to that, we have a hearing set in the Engineers case in New Jersey on June 30 to determine whether the court will certify a nationwide case of third-party payors.

THE COURT: One of the challenges, from the standpoint of the MDL, is to deal with cases not only throughout the country in the federal system, but also cases that are in the state system. One benefit of the MDL is consistency and uniformity. The significance of uniformity and consistency in the large numbers of cases is to allow you folks, who are the lawyers in the cases, to be able to get a read on matters so that hopefully you can evaluate the cases and see whether or not there's any shorter way of dealing with it, namely settlements or dealing with it in some motion way or other evidence. Consistency or at least predictability is one of the benefits of trials.

My concern oftentimes, if there are trials in various jurisdictions, is that it doesn't afford the same consistency if you had it in one jurisdiction, wherever it is. It just gives you some more consistency and, therefore, more predictability, and you're able to then learn from that

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 particular trial.

My hope was that we could begin trying cases in the MDL as quickly as we can so that you can have that read and benefit, but I do recognize that cases have been in state court for about four years now. It's hard to have a litigant wait, after they have done all the work in a particular case, and then have to delay unduly. I have to measure both of those things and weigh both of those things. I've been getting a lot of good cooperation from the various state courts, and I think that many of them feel the same way as I do. We will do the best we can with it. In that regard, let me segue into the next item, which is the selection of cases for an early trial date in this particular proceeding.

MR. SEEGER: Judge, if you don't mind, on the last point, just for the benefit of the lawyers reading the transcript, we have requested of the defendant that going forward, when they list the trial cases, that they give us the name of the case, the court it's in, the attorney of record, and if they can tell us what the injury is. I think that will assist us in coordinating with those lawyers and help our state federal liaison committee, as well.

THE COURT: Fine. We have got to watch from both sides that cases are not tried before it's appropriate to try them because that's going to skew the situation. It's not going to help anybody to try a case that is either not

3

4 5

б 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

representative or that's not ready to be tried. It's going to hurt the rest of your cases. Let's be conscious of that.

MR. WITTMANN: I think, in response to Chris' point, we have been talking about Ernst and Humeston for a long time now. I thought I had given that information to Russ, but we will make sure he has it from now on.

THE COURT: Talk to me about trial dates for the cases here.

MR. WITTMANN: Trial dates here, Judge, Mr. Herman and I have been meeting and working on it ourselves. More recently than that, Mr. Marvin has been meeting with Mr. Seeger and others on the plaintiffs' steering committee to try and get a pool of cases from which we can select cases for trial here in the Eastern District. I would like to let Mr. Marvin address that since he has been more familiar with the recent negotiations than I have.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MARVIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Your Honor, we have identified two pools of cases that could yield cases for early trials. The first pool could come from the Eastern District of Louisiana, where there are approximately 40 cases presently on file. What we would need to do for those cases is to have a short schedule for submission of the plaintiff fact sheets so that both plaintiffs and defendants could look at those cases and make some selections from those cases.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

A second pool of cases, though, could come from cases that have already been filed in the federal court and, indeed, were filed even before the establishment of this MDL. Discovery in a number of those cases has proceeded, and some to an advanced stage. There are approximately 40 cases, for example, where requests for interrogatories have been made, answers have been given, plaintiff fact sheets have been submitted, and medical records collected. That's an important matter because collection of medical records could take several months. So we do have 40 cases where we think that, working with the plaintiffs, we can identify those cases. Those cases would have objective criteria for the selection of those cases because of the advanced discovery and, indeed, we would be prepared to send our list of cases that we think would fit that criteria within the next five days.

THE COURT: Let's do that in five days, then let me hear from the plaintiffs in five days, and then we will meet and pick the cases. The Eastern District cases I can try here. The other cases that are put in from other states, even in the federal system, in view of the Lexicon case I may have difficulty trying them unless there's some stipulations. do it in one of two ways. I can either try them with the stipulations here or I can go to the area that the case emanates from. An MDL judge sits throughout the country and is able to do that. I can go to the other area.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

The thing that you both have to look at is the Circuit that you are dealing with because if I try them here, even with stipulations, my understanding is the Fifth Circuit will take the case from me in the appeal. If I try them in another area, the circuit in that area will try it. I don't know whether it's good or bad or whether people have feelings one way or the other, but at least that's something for both sides to consider when we talk about those cases.

MR. MARVIN: Fair enough.

THE COURT: Also, logistics, if I'm going to go some other place, that area needs to know I'm going to be there, the court space and the Marshals Service and things of that nature.

MR. MARVIN: Thank you, Your Honor. Once that list is completed, we will continue working with the PLC to review that list and hopefully come up with some cases.

THE COURT: After five days, if the plaintiffs can get to me, I'll set a meeting. I will see both of you and pick the cases.

MR. SEEGER: Judge, just a couple of brief comments on this. We are all for getting a case scheduled for trial as soon as possible. We like the November/December timeframe. I'm not one of those lawyers and I don't think the attorneys on the PSC are lawyers that believe we have to turn over every document and every stone before we start trying a case. However, I do want to make the Court aware of the fact that we

EXHIBIT C PAGE 26

are trying to get discovery from the FDA and some third parties and some remaining discovery from Merck that we would like to get.

THE COURT: The early cases, if we are going to push then as quickly as we can to make them meaningful, it's not just to try the cases. It's to give both of you some idea of how a jury feels about that particular case. First, I need cases that are ready for trial. It's not going to do you any good to try a case that's not ready for trial because whatever the verdict is, somebody is going to have an excuse for it and say, "Well, but for it being ready, it would have been different," that sort of thing, whatever it is.

Secondly, I would like you to give some thought to grouping them. If we are dealing with death cases, I don't want to try all death cases because there are personal injury cases, so we ought to try some cases that will give you some benefit from the jury's view of that particular case. We need cases that are ready and we need some variety.

MR. SEEGER: Thank you, Judge. With your comments in mind, we will be talking with the defendants about potentially a pool. We will be proposing cases, as well. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Class actions is the next item on the agenda.

MR. WITTMANN: Yes, Your Honor. I have really covered that already. I think it's on track in accordance with

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

23 24

22

25

the Pretrial Order governing class actions, and the plaintiffs are due to file their master complaint or complaints by August 1.

THE COURT: Okay. The discovery directed to Merck. MR. WITTMANN: Yes, Your Honor. There are a couple of facets to that. First of all, I don't think your Pretrial Order on the individual cases is generally available yet, but we have submitted an agreed Pretrial Order to you on the individual cases. I understand you have signed it, but it hasn't been posted yet.

THE COURT: I have signed it, yes.

MR. WITTMANN: There are two parts to that order. The first part deals with the actual procedure for filing motions and dealing with that process in the MDL. The second part, which we suggested be designated "Pretrial Order A," if you recall, dealt with the actual review of prior discovery produced by Merck in other cases. That process is ongoing. The protocol for doing that is established by that Pretrial Order and the parties are doing that now, even as we speak, up in New Jersey.

We don't have any problems with respect to the documents that have already been produced and the depositions that have been taken. Those are being made available to the plaintiffs' steering committee, and so far as I know that process is going on without any problem. We have some

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

significant disputes with respect to the additional discovery propounded by the plaintiffs' steering committee, both the interrogatories and the document requests. It's our belief they are overly broad. We have made that clear to the plaintiffs' liaison counsel and plaintiffs' steering committee. The parties have been working, trying to narrow those requests to take into account the extent of which those requests are

are being made available up in New Jersey.

We have set, by agreement with the plaintiffs'. steering committee, I think a deadline of July 5 by which to complete that meet-and-confer process to try and narrow down to see if we can agree on what additional materials will or will not be produced. If we are unsuccessful, then we will be in to see Your Honor, I think, within 10 days after that.

duplicative of materials produced in other proceedings which

THE COURT: Let me just make a couple of comments on the discovery part. Discovery, particularly paper discovery, is supposed to be helpful and not just stumbling blocks. purpose of discovery is to help you try your cases or get ready for trial. If you get so tied into discovery, it gets to be so voluminous and so time consuming and so burdensome that it saps not only your energy, but your resources and your thinking and sidetracks you, it is not good. It's got to be helpful. It can't be just, "Let's get it because it's there," "Let's not give it because we have got it." It's got to be helpful.

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My thinking on discovery is that you all first meet and share with each other a draft of what you need and discuss it. If you can arrive at an agreement, that's fine. If you can't arrive at an agreement, then before it's in final form, talk to me about it. I'll tell you what my ruling will be so we don't have to go back and forth. The plaintiffs don't have to wait for 30 days to get a response, which is an objection, and then they have to wait for another 30. We don't have time to do that, so we have to streamline it. Get together and see what you agree on. I don't have to be brought into the agreement. The disagreements, bring me in and I will resolve the disagreements. We will do it as quickly as we can. MR. WITTMANN: Your Honor, are you saying that during the course of our negotiations, if we do reach some impasse, that you would welcome a conference call? THE COURT: Get it to me right there and I'll resolve the controversy.

MR. SEEGER: If Russ were here, he would have a great quote from William Shakespeare something to the effect, "I've never met a defendant that said a discovery request wasn't burdensome, " but having said that --

THE COURT: I'm sure Shakespeare said something like that.

MR. SEEGER: In New York there's a guy named Shakespeare who said something along those lines. The truth is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we served discovery. Merck has asked for a little bit more time to continue discussing it. We are going to do that. We are anxiously awaiting their response. There are no real surprises in what we are asking for. As you said, Your Honor, the case is being litigated. It has been litigated for a while. I think we all know what we need.

THE COURT: Again, if you have already got some material, let's work out something that if you've got it they don't need to reproduce it. I know that sometimes you receive some material that's case specific and this is noncase specific, so you may need to go outside of that. If you have got it, let's get on to something else. The Pretrial Order governing individual cases.

MR. WITTMANN: Yes, Your Honor. You have those now. There are actually two Pretrial Orders. One relates to the production of documents in other cases, which is really a part of the Pretrial Order for the individual cases.

THE COURT: I have that. I signed it. It should be posted. Merck employee information is the next item. I have briefs on that. I've set next Wednesday at 2:00 to have a hearing on that oral argument on that question. The next item is discovery directed to the FDA. How are we with that? We had some misunderstandings. I got the FDA on the phone and everybody was able to talk about it. I had the opportunity to express my views. I haven't heard on it formally since then.

3

4 5

б

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

MR. SEEGER: Your Honor, my co-lead counsel, Andy Birchfield, met with the FDA, so he is going to address this issue.

MR. BIRCHFIELD: Your Honor, Russ Herman, Troy Rafferty, and I met with the FDA with their counsel and with the U.S. attorney Sharon Smith at the FDA headquarters in Rockville, Maryland. We discussed the items on the subpoena and we were able to reach agreement on a number of those issues. We have continuing dialogue on some of the others. The important thing was that we were able to at least get the discovery process, the review process, and the production process moving while we are continuing dialogue. They have agreed to provide us with the first page of the documents that were produced in Congress so we can review those and identify which ones we actually need. They have also agreed to start the production. We expect by the end of July to get the initial production. They will continue that on a rolling basis. We are making considerable progress on the FDA production.

THE COURT: I should express the Court's appreciation to the chair of the FDA. I appreciate his help. He should know that I am obliged to him for getting involved in this matter and helping us move forward. I do publicly appreciate his help.

MR. BIRCHFIELD: One other thing on that. Merck,

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

Ben Barnett, was also there. There is cooperation there. are agreing to provide a list of documents that are between the FDA and Merck so we can review that list and determine which ones need to be produced and which ones we already have.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Discovery directed to third parties is the next item.

MR. SEEGER: Your Honor, we are in the next couple of days going to get out probably about a dozen or so subpoenas to third parties. We are going to try to stage it in a way so we do it in phases, but at this point there's not much to report other than we are going to get the subpoenas out.

THE COURT: Let's keep that on the agenda so that you can talk to me about it next time. We really ought to work through that. That's an important part. Deposition scheduling.

MR. WITTMANN: The first and third weeks of the month, Judge, were set by prior Pretrial Order. We had sent a request to the plaintiffs' steering committee last week asking that depositions be set in some of the Louisiana cases starting the first week in July or, alternatively, the third week in July, depending upon what they were able to pull together from their clients. We left the selection of the plaintiffs to be deposed to the plaintiffs' steering committee because we are trying to accommodate the individual plaintiffs and not just go out and arbitrarily pick dates and select them. Your Honor has

3

4 5

7 В

6

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

> 16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

told us in our prior Pretrial Order that we are to cooperate in trying to arrange a mutually agreeable date before running out and setting depositions by notice.

We have not heard back. I understand from the plaintiffs' steering committee this morning that the cases that we have selected may not be suitable for the early trials in this Court, so we are waiting to get a list, really, of the cases that we think will go forward for an early trial and will set them for deposition as soon as we can.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's keep an eye on prioritizing. There's some issues that might cut across the spectrum. may want to take depositions of individuals that have something to say about the general theories so they will be significant in each case, but with regard to case-specific discovery I think you should focus on the cases that we select for trial and do those. Now, that doesn't mean that you only have to have one wave of depositions. You can have a couple of tracks going on. You have to be able to do several tracks at the same time in a case like this.

MR. SEEGER: You just anticipated my comments. think that the depositions of plaintiffs should somehow dovetail with what we are doing on trial schedules, although I would like to correct the record. I don't have any opinion on whether cases by any other lawyer are appropriate for trial or not. I think we have to come up with a criteria for selecting

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

cases that will inform decisions that may be made down the road between the parties.

THE COURT: The next item is the plaintiffs' profile form and Merck's profile form. I understand from the parties that with regard to the plaintiffs' profile form they have reached an agreement. On Merck's profile form, they have not reached an agreement. Each side submitted to me their disagreements and I have resolved the conflict. I'll be today putting out the defendants' profile form that will be used in That will be resolved. this case.

Also, with the profile forms of both sides, it seems to me that we ought to do that in waves. The first wave, I would like both the plaintiffs and the defendants to focus on and limit the forms to the cardiovascular events -- the myocardial infarctions, the ischemic strokes, the deaths -- and then shortly thereafter, when we get some experience with those forms and with filling them out and any problems, in resolving those problems we ought to be able to step it out quickly to the second wave, which may not require as detailed information. It seems to me to be the best way of doing it. I put some handwritten notes on this form and I will simply adopt it in an order and give you my thinking on that particular form. plaintiffs will have to redraft the form and submit it. Medical records from healthcare providers is the next item.

MR. SEEGER: Judge, I think that we have agreed on a

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

procedure for having medical records posted online almost identical to what we are doing in New Jersey for plaintiffs' lawyers as well as defense counsel to have access to records in a secure way. I think that's been accomplished.

MR. WITTMANN: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Contact with claimants' healthcare providers. That's the subject of another motion. I received briefs for reconsideration on that issue. I'll be hearing that in oral argument on Wednesday, also. The plaintiffs' depository is the next item on the agenda.

MR. SEEGER: We are happy to report we are up and running. We have got it staffed and it is available to plaintiffs' lawyers who want to come look at documents and contribute to the document review. We welcome the help.

THE COURT: Talk with the defendants. If they have no objection, I would like to at least look at the depository, if that's possible, just see that it's up and running and so forth.

MR. WITTMANN: That's no problem, Judge, whenever counsel wants to set it up.

THE COURT: Set it up and we'll take a look at it. The confidentiality agreement is the next one.

MR. WITTMANN: That's been signed. Your Honor, I haven't had any problems with it. We had one question as to whether some of the material that was attached to I believe the

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

brief filed by the state liaison committee may have contained some confidential information. I don't believe it really did, but the time hasn't yet run for us to designate. We are still looking at that. We may have some comment about it. I don't think it's a major problem.

THE COURT: That's an issue always of concern and it's a balancing issue. It's a balancing issue between the First and Sixth Amendments. The First Amendment, of course, the right of the public to know, it's vital in a democracy that the public know. It's also vital in a democracy that people have every right to a fair trial. There's some conflict occasionally between the First and the Sixth Amendment. The way that it's worked out, in my judgment, in a fair way is to not deprive the public of a right to know, but perhaps delay the public's information, unless it is a critical type of information which is necessary for immediate knowledge.

I'm focused, instead, on the right to a fair trial for both sides, and there is certain proprietary information in these documents that drug companies realistically and legitimately get concerned about releasing, proprietary information that could affect their present or future business practices. I am conscious of the public's right to know, but I am also conscious of the fact that if I don't have a confidentiality order, then it delays the discovery and delays trials and delays justice. I have dealt

EXHIBIT PAGE 36

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

21

with this issue in that fashion. We have a confidentiality agreement which will allow the defendants comfort to produce certain information without fear that their future economic security is in jeopardy. The remand issues.

MR. SEEGER: Judge, that's in the report. You are going to be dealing with remand motions as a group by procedures that you will be setting up.

THE COURT: Right. This is always an issue which the MDL Court has to look at. The question is posed. There are various issues of remand in various cases throughout the, country. Again, a significant advantage of the MDL concept is some consistency. The Rule of Law is really based on consistency. If different decisions are made by numerous judges, then you have no consistency and no predictability and no one knows exactly what to do or how to do it. It's easier if one court decides some of these matters than if 50 or 100 courts decide the matter.

I'm conscious of dealing with the remand as quickly as possible, but I do want to get them all together, look at them, see if I can group them in some way, and then direct my attention on each particular group and deal with that issue in a consistent and fair fashion for that group. I will be dealing with them as quickly as I can, but also with an idea of having more consistency. I'll be speaking about this perhaps later on because I do have some concepts and ideas

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

about this. Tolling agreements is the next item.

MR. SEEGER: Judge, just to report that we have accomplished our goal. It was a long negotiation, but we got it resolved. Both sides have compromised and agreed on a tolling agreement. It seems to be working. We are getting cases in.

MR. WITTMANN: The agreement was filed, I quess, on the 9th of this month, so it's just recently been filed, but we are starting to get people taking advantage of it. We are getting the short form exhibits mailed in. We have a procedure set up by the defendants to respond to those exhibits as they come in. We are keeping a record of them so we know exactly where we stand with respect to the people who have elected to go forward on the tolling agreements, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I appreciate the help on both sides on the tolling agreements. I think it's good for each side. I think there's different advantages, but advantages for each side, and I think it will be helpful to you. Certainly, I think it will be helpful to the litigants. The next item is state/federal coordination. State liaison committee, are there things that you want to say?

MS. BARRIOS: Yes, Your Honor. We have been fairly active since our last meeting here. At the Court's order, we were allowed to file a brief on the issue of the Merck employee information. We did that and I question, Your Honor, if you

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 23

would like us to be present at the hearing on Wednesday to make a presentation?

THE COURT: Sure. You are always welcome to participate in a hearing.

MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm interested in your views. I have looked at the brief. A lot of it has already been said. don't think I need any response from the defendants on it. think you have covered it in your particular response. Let's keep that in mind. I know that oftentimes you see matters in the same fashion as perhaps the plaintiffs' steering committee.

MS. BARRIOS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If you do, just "Me, too" it. I don't need you to restate it. That's sufficient. If you see it in a different light, just carve that out. If I need some response, I will direct the defendants to give me a response on it.

MS. BARRIOS: Yes, sir. We have no intention of being duplicative. With the cooperation of Mr. Wittmann's office and Mr. Herman's office, we have received a roster of over 700 plaintiffs' attorneys names. We sent out our first very lengthy newsletter detailing the orders, the web site, etc. We have gotten extremely good feedback from different plaintiffs' attorneys across the country on it. We have had several conference calls with our committee. Mr. Witkin on our committee made a presentation at the Mealey's seminar about the

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 MDL yesterday. We met with the PSC last night and look forward to working with them to reserve the rights of the plaintiffs' attorneys and their clients.

THE COURT: I appreciate all of your interest and work and willingness to work on the committee. It's very important. I know there's some interests that are different. That's the way of the world. There's some joint interests and so rather than reinvent the wheel and do things a second time, third time, fourth time, it is helpful for you to be in the development of it so that you can just migrate that information into your proceedings so you don't have to redo it again.

MS. BARRIOS: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

MR. SEEGER: From the PSC's perspective, we have enjoyed the working relationship with the committee. We think the lawyers on the committee are of extremely high quality. They have been proactive in anticipating issues. We welcome their input on anything we do. We did have dinner last night, had a chance to talk through some important issues before we poured the first bottle of wine -- which was helpful -- and we are looking forward to the continued cooperation between our committee and theirs.

THE COURT: The waiver of service is the next item.

MR. WITTMANN: Yes, Your Honor. You have the Pretrial Order in effect on that. I think it's Pretrial Order 15, and we haven't had any problems.

3

4

5

б 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The next item is direct filing into the MDL. Ms. Loretta Whyte was kind enough to meet with us earlier today to discuss that in more detail. The issue, of course, is whether or not the party who files directly into the MDL should have the MDL caption or should have their independent caption. Ms. Whyte tells us that the best way of doing it, from her vantage point, is to have an individual caption just as you would if you file a regular case, but put on that "Related Case, MDL" and give the number. She will then migrate it into the MDL proceedings. That will be the best way of doing that. Is that right, Ms. Whyte? MS. WHYTE: Yes. MR. SEEGER: There's one thing on that issue that's important to note for people reading the transcript. There's been some confusion whether the lawyers need to be admitted pro hac to file cases.

THE COURT: They do not need to be admitted pro hac. I have waived that. Anybody who's in the MDL or has a case in the MDL is able to handle a matter.

MR. SEEGER: Just to be clear, Ms. Whyte, the cases that get filed must mention the Vioxx MDL and that it's a related case?

> MS. WHYTE: It's a related case.

THE COURT: Anything further on that? Pro se claimants.

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

		MR	SEE	SER:	Wel	1, 1	our	Honor,	we	have	been	referring
what	we	have	been	gett	ing	from	ı the	Court	to	our p	plain	iffs'
liais	on	couns	sel ar	nd th	ese	are	beir	o deal	L wi	ith.		

THE COURT: I think we have a system of doing it. So far most of the pro se claimants have been individuals who have not been able to be personally present at these meetings. They are generally incarcerated some other place and can't make it here, so they need a lawyer. They have expressed their interest in being represented, so I have given that to liaison counsel. I understand that what they do is contact lawyers in the state of that particular institution and make those lawyers available to them, or at least tell them that they would be available. That seems to be working.

Anything further from anyone that I haven't covered before I set the next status conference date? Anything either from the state committee, liaison committee, or anyone in the audience? I'm interested in hearing your views.

MR. WITTMANN: Nothing from the defendants, Your Honor.

MR. SEEGER: Nothing further from plaintiffs, Your Honor.

MS. BARRIOS: Nothing further.

MR. BECNEL: Judge, I have a lawyer who has referred me 160 cases from Oregon. I have dealt with Mr. Wittmann's associate, Ms. Wimberly. He wants to bring them all here, but

1 2

3

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

because of the peculiar law in Oregon he is starting to have to file them right away in Oregon. I'm trying to get some sort of a deal with the defendants to get them all here without missing a statute problem. I'm just wondering how we can work that out.

MR. WITTMANN: We are working that out now, actually, Your Honor, with a procedure for the filing of a master complaint in the MDL that will permit people to come in and tag along and sign on with the short form joinder pleading, which should take care of Mr. Becnel's problem.

THE COURT: Mr. Seeger, get with Mr. Becnel and see if we can handle this particular problem.

MR. SEEGER: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. GIRARDI: Tom Girardi, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Girardi.

MR. GIRARDI: Your Honor, we now have a state court judge for the 2,000 cases in California. I will provide you with that contact information, Judge Chaney.

THE COURT: Please do that because I am trying to keep in touch with the state court judges. When we begin setting some Daubert hearings or other hearings, if they wish to come in the case, we will do either the voice or do video streaming so that they can participate and deal with it according to their law, but they won't have to retake the witnesses. If they have any questions, they can ask the

questions while the witnesses are there, so I would like to know that. Thank you.

MR. SEEGER: Just for the record, if I could ask
Mr. Girardi to copy liaison counsel on that?

MR. GIRARDI: Yes.

THE COURT: Anything else? The next status conference is Tuesday, July 19, 9:30. I'll meet with the liaison counsel in my chambers at 8:00 that day to begin getting ready for this meeting. I appreciate everybody's cooperation and for their reports. Thank you. Court will stand in recess.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise. (WHEREUPON, the Court was in recess.)

### CERTIFICATE

I, Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter.

Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR
Official Court Reporter

EXHIBIT PAGE 44

Case 1:08-cv-03074-GBD Document 11 Filed 04/25/2008 Page 39 of 40

Exhibit C

JUH. 9. 2005 5:34PM

NO. 4277 P. 2.2

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR

March 21, 2005

Honorable Ricardo H. Hinojosa U.S. District Judge 1701 W Bus Highway 83 Bentsen Tower, Suite 1028 McAllen, TX 78501

Re: MDL-1657-In re Vioxx Products Liability Litigation

Felicia Garza, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., S.D. Texas, C.A. No. 7:05-17

Dear Judge Hinojosa:

Presently before the Panel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 is a notice of opposition to the Panel's conditional transfer order in the above matter pending before you. The parties will have an opportunity to fully brief the question of transfer and the matter will be considered at a bimonthly Panel hearing session. In the meantime, your jurisdiction continues until any transfer ruling becomes effective.

If you have a motion pending - such as a motion to remand to state court (if the action was removed to your court) - you are free to rule on the motion, of course, or wait until the Panel has decided the transfer issue. The latter course may be especially appropriate if the motion raises questions likely to arise in other actions in the transferee court and, in the interest of uniformity, might best be decided there if the Panel orders centralization.

Please feel free to contact our staff in Washington with any questions.

Kindest regards

Wm. Terrell Hodges Chairman

Case 1:08-cv-03074-GBD Document 11-2 Filed 04/25/2008 Page 1 of 43

Exhibit D

02/29/2008 19:44 212-403-5663

DLA PIPER US LLP

PAGE 87/48

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK BEXTRA AND CELEBREX PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION

: Index No. 762000/06

dex No. 111292/06

002676

MARGARET STEINHOFF, et ux. MICHAES STEINHOFF,



MAR 0 6 2008

NEW YORK OFFICE

PFIZER INC., PHARMACIA CORPORATION, a wholly-owned subsidiary of PFIZER INC., and PHARMACIA & UPJOHN COMPANY, a wholly-owned subsidiary of PHARMACIA CORPORATION, and MERCK & CO., INC.,

: STIPULATION OF : DISMISSAL WITH : PREJUDICE AGAINST : PFIZER DEFENDANTS

Defendants.

entitled action through their respective attorneys, that whereas no party hereto is an infant, incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed or conservatee and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of this action, all claims asserted against Pfizer Inc., Pharmacia Corporation, and Pharmacia & Upjohn Company ("Pfizer Defendants") in the Complaint in the above-entitled action are dismissed with prejudice and without costs to any of the parties as against the other. This Stipulation may be filed without further notice with the

02/29/2008 19:44 212-403-5663 DLA PIPER US LLP

PAGE 88/48

Clerk of the Court. A facsimile copy of this Stipulation shall have the same effect as the original.

Dated: New York, New York

March 5, 2008

Ronald R. Benjamir 126 Riverside Drive P.O. Box 607

Binghamton, New York 13902-0607 607-772-1442

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DLA PIPER US LLP

Christopher M. Strongosky

Tiffany L. Christian

1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1104

212-335-4500

Attorneys for Pfizer Defendants

HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP

By:

Vilia B. Hayes One Battery Park Plaza New York, NY 10004-1482 212-837-6000

Attorneys for Merck & Co., Inc.

Document 11-2

HH&R LLP 11W

Filed 04/25/2008

Page 4 of 43

**2**016/028

83/83/2008 11:33 212-403-5663 DLA PIPER US LLP

PAGE 19/31

Clerk of the Court. A facsimile copy of this Stipulation shall have the same effect as the original.

Dated: New York, New York , 2008

LAW OFFICE OF RONALD R. BENJAMIN

DLA PIPER US LLP

By:

Ronald R. Benjamin 126 Riverside Drive P.O. Box 607 Binghamton, New York 13902-0607 607-772-1442

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Christopher M. Strongosky Tiffany L. Christian 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1104

Attorneys for Pfizer Defendants

212-335-4500

HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP

Vilia B. Hayes

One Battery Park Plaza New York, NY 10004-1482

212-837-6000

Attorneys for Merck & Co., Inc.

Case 1:08-cv-03074-GBD Document 11-2 Filed 04/25/2008 Page 5 of 43

Exhibit E

5.13 共23

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 560001/2005

IN RE: NEW YORK BEXTRA AND CELEBREX PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION

CASE MANAGEMENT

ORDER NO. 6

THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO ALL CASES

FILED

AUG 16 2006

# Plaintiff Fact Sheets And Defendant Fact Sheets

# I. Applicability And Scope Of Order

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1. This Order governs certain pretrial procedures for cases involving the prescription medications Bextra and Celebrex which are presently or hereafter assigned to this Court ("Coordinated Proceeding"). This Order shall apply to all Plaintiffs who allegedly suffered personal injury from taking Bextra and/or Celebrex in cases currently pending in or that have been or will be originally filed in, or transferred to, this Court and assigned thereto. This Order is binding on all parties and their counsel in all cases currently pending or subsequently made part of these proceedings.

# II. Plaintiff Fact Sheets, Documents And Authorizations

- 2. <u>Plaintiffs' Obligation To Serve Plaintiff Fact Sheets and Responsive</u>

  Documents.
- a. <u>Applicable Plaintiff Fact Sheet</u>. Each individual Plaintiff bound by this Order shall serve upon Defendants' counsel a complete and signed Plaintiff Fact Sheet ("PFS") in the forms set forth in Attachments A (Bextra-only Plaintiffs), B (Celebrex-only Plaintiffs), or C (Plaintiffs who allege taking both Bextra and Celebrex) pursuant to the schedule ordered in paragraph 5 herein. If a Plaintiff initially completes Attachment A or B hereto and

Page 7 of 43

medical records or other information subsequently reveal that Plaintiff took both Bextra and Celebrex, such Plaintiff shall provide the additional information contained in Attachment C within sixty (60) days upon request by any Defendant. Each PFS shall be mailed to Defendants' counsel as follows:

Loren H. Brown
Raymond M. Williams
Attn: Bextra/Celebrex—NY COORD. PROC.
DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020

- b. Responsive Documents. The Plaintiff shall also produce with his or her PFS all documents responsive to the document requests contained therein ("responsive documents"). If neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's counsel possess responsive documents, Plaintiff's counsel must inform Defendants' counsel of such in writing concurrently with serving the PFS.
- C. Answers Binding As If Interrogatory Responses And Signed Under Penalty Of Perjury. All responses in a PFS are binding on the Plaintiff as if they were contained in responses to interrogatories. Each PFS shall be signed and dated by the Plaintiff or the proper Plaintiff representative under penalty of perjury.
- d. Plaintiffs Suing In Representative Or Derivative Capacity. If the Plaintiff is suing in a representative or derivative capacity (e.g., on behalf of an estate, as a survivor, and/or as an assignee or subrogee), the completed PFS and produced responsive documents must provide information about the individual who allegedly took Celebrex and/or Bextra.

# 3 Plaintiffs' Obligation To Serve HIPAA-Compliant Authorizations.

- a. Five Blank Medical Authorizations Served With PFS. Each individual Plaintiff subject to this Order shall serve upon Defendants' counsel designated above along with his or her PFS and responsive documents five originals of the "Authorization for the Release of Medical Records" for all health care providers and other sources of information and records (including but not limited to pharmacies, insurance companies, and/or any applicable state or federal government agencies) (collectively, "custodian of records") in forms as agreed upon by Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Liaison Counsel and contained in CMO No. 7. The "Authorization for the Release of Medical Records" shall distinguish between Plaintiffs asserting no psychological injury and Plaintiffs asserting psychological injury, and each individual Plaintiff shall serve the version that is applicable to that individual Plaintiff. The authorizations shall be dated and signed without setting forth the identity of the custodian of the records or provider of care.
- b. Three Blank Employment Authorizations Served With PFS. Each individual Plaintiff subject to this Order shall serve upon Defendants' counsel designated above along with his or her PFS and responsive documents three originals of the "Authorization for the Release of Employment Records" for all employers in forms to be agreed upon by Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Liaison Counsel with respect to Plaintiffs asserting no wage loss claim and Plaintiffs asserting a wage loss claim. The authorizations shall be dated and signed without setting forth the identity of the employer.
- c. <u>Custodian-Specific Updated Or Additional Authorizations</u>. If a health care provider, employer, or other custodian of records: (i) has a specific authorization form it requires its patients to use, (ii) requires a more recent authorization than the

authorizations initially provided by Plaintiff, (iii) requires a notarized authorization, or (iv) requires an original signature and the record collection company or companies jointly retained by the parties have already used all original authorizations provided by Plaintiff, then the record collection company or companies retained by the parties shall so notify Plaintiff's counsel and provide such specific authorization(s) and/or new blank authorization(s) to Plaintiff's counsel. Plaintiff shall execute such specific, updated and/or original authorization(s) within thirty (30) days and pursuant to paragraph 3.d. herein, where applicable. Where Plaintiff identifies one of the custodians of record listed in Attachment D hereto in his or her Plaintiff Fact Sheet, such Plaintiff shall execute the applicable custodian-specific authorization for that custodian and provide such authorization along with his or her Plaintiff Fact Sheet, blank authorizations and responsive documents. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel shall make the custodian-specific authorizations for the custodians listed in Attachment D available to Plaintiffs' counsel.

d. <u>Plaintiffs Suing In Representative Or Derivative Capacity</u>. If the Plaintiff is suing in a representative or derivative capacity, the authorizations must be signed and produced along with documentation, if any exists, establishing that the signatory is a duly appointed representative or is otherwise permitted to execute authorizations on behalf of the person who allegedly took Celebrex and/or Bextra.

## 4. <u>Use Of Authorizations.</u>

a. <u>Custodians Listed In PFS</u>. Any record collection company or companies jointly retained by the parties may use the authorizations (including copies of the original blank authorizations) for any health care provider, employer, or other custodian of records identified in the PFS without further notice to the Plaintiff's counsel. Any Plaintiff who has an objection to the collection of records from any health care provider, employer, or other

custodian of records identified in the PFS shall make such objection to Defendants at the time the PFS is provided, or else any such objection to the use of the authorization is waived. This provision shall not waive any right that an individual may have to request the return of the records, to challenge the admissibility of the records, or to otherwise move the Court for appropriate relief.

- authorization to obtain records from a custodian that is not identified in the PFS, the record collection company or companies jointly retained by the parties shall provide the Plaintiff's counsel for that particular case with seven days written notice (by facsimile) of the intent to use an authorization to obtain records from that custodian. If Plaintiff's counsel fails to object to the request within seven days (by facsimile), the retained record collection company or companies may use the authorization to request the records from the custodian identified in the notice. If Plaintiff's counsel objects to the use of the authorization to obtain records from the custodian identified in the notice within said seven-day period, such objection must be served on Defendants' counsel designated above in writing (by facsimile) and must identify the legal basis for the objection and describe the nature of the documents to which the objection is asserted in a manner that, without revealing the information allegedly protected, will enable the Defendants to assess the applicability of the asserted protection.
- 5. Schedule For Serving Plaintiff Fact Sheets, Responsive Documents And Authorizations. Plaintiffs in cases filed prior to the date of entry of this Order shall have sixty (60) days from the date of entry of this Order to serve upon Defendants' counsel designated above a complete and signed PFS, all responsive documents (or a written notice that none are in the possession of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel) and properly executed authorizations. Each

Plaintiff in cases that are filed in the New York Unified Court System and that are or will be subject to this Coordinated Proceeding after the date of entry of this Order shall serve upon Defendants' counsel designated above a complete and signed PFS, all responsive documents (or a written notice that none are in the possession of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel) and properly executed authorizations within sixty (60) days from the date of filing. For the purpose of this paragraph, the "date of filing" is defined as the date on which the case is filed in the New York Unified Court System. Notwithstanding the provisions of this paragraph, in cases that have been filed but where the complaint has not been served upon Defendants, Defendants' receipt of a PFS, responsive documents, authorizations or other such materials served under this paragraph shall not constitute or be deemed consent to personal jurisdiction or a waiver of any service requirement in such cases under applicable law.

6. Provision Of Medical Records To Parties. Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Liaison Counsel shall make available, through an outside vendor(s) jointly selected and hired by Liaison Counsel, all records obtained from any health care provider(s) or other custodian(s) of records through an authorization or subpoena on a secure web site maintained by the outside vendor(s). Such records shall be Bates numbered by the vendor. Plaintiff's counsel in a specific case may access that web site to obtain copies of their clients' records only, and are hereby restricted from accessing or obtaining copies of any other individual's medical records through that web site or vendor. For each set of records Plaintiffs' counsel (or counsel for any other party) wishes to obtain from the vendor(s), Plaintiffs or the other party may be charged any one-time "viewing fees" established by the vendor(s) and agreed to by the parties, plus half of any fee charged by the records custodian, which shall be payable directly to the vendor(s). If a third party (for example, a treating physician defendant or other third party or, as the case may be, a

Plaintiff) also wishes to obtain the records, that party shall be charged one-third of the fee charged by the record custodian, and one-third of the fee paid by each earlier party who obtained the records shall be refunded by the vendor(s). Plaintiffs (or counsel for any other party) will be able to download and copy any and all viewed records for their use at no additional expense. The Defendants shall have no other obligation to provide medical or other records obtained pursuant to the authorization(s) to Plaintiffs, including prior to the deposition of any Plaintiff.

# III. Dismissal Of Plaintiffs' Claims For Failure To Comply With Discovery Obligations

Notice That Claims May Be Dismissed. Any Plaintiff who fails to comply with any discovery obligations imposed by this Order within the time periods set forth herein may be subject to having his or her claims, as well as any derivative claim(s), dismissed if good cause for such dismissal is shown. Good cause shall exist where there is a material deficiency in responding to required discovery, i.e., one that prejudices Defendants through a failure to provide necessary information, thereby impeding Defendants' access to material and relevant evidence. Any dismissal may be with or without prejudice as the Court may determine in an individual case. Defendants have informed the Court that they intend to move to dismiss with prejudice those cases in which there is a material deficiency in responding to required discovery. The procedure for such motions shall be governed by paragraph 10 herein.

# 8. <u>Initial Notice Of Discovery Obligations.</u>

a. Notice By Court To Be Jointly Drafted By Parties. Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Liaison Counsel shall meet and confer to draft a notice from the Court to Plaintiffs' counsel regarding the Coordinated Proceeding, which such notice shall describe the status of the litigation, the Plaintiffs' discovery obligations, and any other duties imposed by the Court's various Case Management Orders and which shall enclose copies of the Case Management

Orders applicable to all cases ("the Initial Notice"). Liaison Counsel shall update the Initial Notice from time to time as they see fit or as ordered by the Court. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel shall be responsible for transmitting the Initial Notice to Plaintiffs' counsel.

- b. <u>Cases Presently Pending In The Coordinated Proceeding</u>. The Initial Notice provided to Plaintiffs' counsel in all cases pending in this Coordinated Proceeding as of the date of this Order shall inform Plaintiffs' counsel in the subject cases that, pursuant to this Case Management Order, Plaintiffs have sixty (60) days to serve upon Defendants' counsel designated above a complete and signed PFS, all responsive documents (or a written notice that none are in the possession of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel) and properly executed authorizations.
- c. Cases Subsequently Filed And Transferred. The Initial Notice provided to Plaintiffs' counsel in all cases transferred to or directly filed in this Coordinated Proceeding after the date of this Order shall inform Plaintiffs' counsel that, pursuant to this Case Management Order, Plaintiffs have sixty (60) days from the date of service or the date of transfer as defined in paragraph 5 above to serve upon Defendants' counsel designated above a complete and signed PFS, all responsive documents (or a written notice that none are in the possession of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel), and properly executed authorizations.
- 9. Notice Of Overdue Or Deficient Discovery. When any Plaintiff has failed to materially comply with their obligations under this Order within the timelines established herein, Defendants' Liaison Counsel or her designee shall send a notice of the material deficiency to the Plaintiff's counsel for the individual whose responses are alleged to be defective ("the deficiency letter"). The deficiency letter shall identify with particularity the alleged material deficiency, state that the Plaintiff will have thirty (30) days to cure the alleged

material deficiency, and state that absent the alleged material deficiency being cured within that time (or within any extension of that time as agreed to by the parties), Defendants may move for dismissal of Plaintiff's claims, including dismissal with prejudice upon an appropriate showing. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel or his designee shall be electronically copied with the deficiency letter. This provision shall not be construed to prevent Defendants' Liaison Counsel or her designee from meeting and conferring with Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel regarding any other deficiencies.

- 10. <u>Procedure For Dismissal Of Cases With Material Deficiency</u>. The procedure for the motions referenced in paragraph 7 shall be as follows:
- a. If Plaintiff's individual counsel responds to the deficiency letter, Defendants' Liaison Counsel or her designee shall meet and confer with such counsel with respect to the purported deficiency.
- b. If the parties' meet and confer is unsuccessful, or if Plaintiff's individual counsel does not respond to the deficiency letter and a subsequent meet and confer effort under New York Rules of Court § 202.7 (22 N.Y.C.R.R. 202.7), Defendants' Liaison Counsel or her designee may file a motion (a "compliance motion") with the Special Master (appointed by the Court to hear such disputes) seeking a report and recommendation requiring Plaintiff to comply with this Order within twenty-one (21) days, or face a dismissal motion to be filed with the Court, including dismissal with prejudice, or other sanctions.
- c. Such compliance motion shall be heard on an expedited basis. A compliance motion may be noticed twenty-one (21) calendar days before the hearing date, with any opposition to be filed ten (10) calendar days before the hearing and any reply to be filed five (5) calendar days before the hearing.

- d. If the Special Master appointed by the Court to hear such disputes determines that Plaintiff's discovery is materially deficient, it shall issue a report and recommendation requiring Plaintiff to comply with this Order within twenty-one (21) days ("the compliance order"), or face dismissal or other appropriate sanctions, as determined by the Court.
- If Plaintiff does not comply with the compliance order within e. twenty-one (21) days, Defendants' Liaison Counsel or her designee may file a motion with the Court to dismiss Plaintiff's claims with prejudice or for other appropriate sanctions (a "dismissal/sanctions motion").
- Such dismissal/sanctions motion shall be heard on an expedited f. basis. A dismissal motion may be noticed twenty-one (21) calendar days before the hearing date, with any opposition to be filed ten (10) calendar days before the hearing and any reply to be filed five (5) calendar days before the hearing.
- If the Court determines that Plaintiff has not complied with the compliance order, it may dismiss Plaintiff's claims with or without prejudice, or impose other sanctions, as it deems appropriate.

#### IV. Defendant Fact Sheet

Pfizer Entities' Obligation To Serve Defendant Fact Sheet. Defendants 11. Pfizer Inc., Pharmacia & Upjohn Co., Pharmacia & Upjohn LLC, Pharmacia Corporation, and G.D. Searle LLC (formerly known as G.D. Searle & Co.) (collectively, "the Pfizer Entities"), shall collectively serve upon each Plaintiff's counsel of record (as identified in the PFS) a hard copy of a complete and verified Defendant Fact Sheet in the form set forth in Attachment E. An electronic copy of the Defendant Fact Sheet shall also be served on Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel's

designee and individual counsel for each Plaintiff for whom an email address has been provided in the Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

- provide a complete and verified Defendant Fact Sheet within sixty (60) days after receipt of a substantially complete and verified PFS and substantially complete authorizations, or within sixty (60) days after service of the complaint, whichever is later. If the Pfizer Entities fail to provide a completed and verified Defendant Fact Sheet within that time, Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel shall provide notice to Defendants' Liaison Counsel by facsimile as provided in paragraph 13 herein. The Pfizer Entities shall have an additional thirty (30) days to cure the deficiency. No other extensions will be granted, absent good cause.
- Entities have failed to materially comply with their obligations under this Order within the timelines established herein, Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel shall send a notice of the material deficiency to the Defendants' Liaison Counsel. The notice shall identify with particularity the alleged material deficiency, state that the Pfizer Entities will have thirty (30) days to cure the alleged material deficiency, and state that absent the alleged material deficiency being cured within that time (or within any extension of that time as agreed to by the parties), Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel may, after meeting and conferring with Defendants' Liaison Counsel, move the Court or Special Master appointed by the Court to hear such disputes for evidentiary or other sanctions. This provision shall not be construed to prevent Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel or her designee from meeting and conferring with Defendants' Liaison Counsel regarding any other deficiencies.

14. Notice That Court May Impose Sanctions. If the Pfizer Entities fail to comply with any discovery obligations imposed by this Order within the time periods set forth herein, the Pfizer Entities may be subject to such evidentiary or other sanctions as this Court (or Special Master appointed by the Court to hear such disputes) may see fit to impose, upon motion by Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel, after meeting and conferring with Defendants' Liaison Counsel, if good cause for such sanctions is shown. Good cause shall exist where there is a material deficiency in responding to required discovery, i.e., one that prejudices Plaintiff through a failure to provide necessary information, thereby impeding Plaintiff's access to material and relevant evidence.

# V. Other Discovery

- 15. <u>Case-Specific Discovery</u>. The parties shall meet and confer regarding a further schedule for discovery, a protocol for the selection of certain cases for an initial trial pool of cases to be initially addressed by this Court and case-specific depositions as to those cases.
- of generic expert discovery. The term "generic experts" refers to experts who will testify on issues of general or widespread applicability, including but not limited to those who will testify on general causation. The parties shall meet and confer to agree upon timing for the identification of generic experts, the number of generic experts, the contents of generic experts' reports and the schedule for generic expert discovery and *Daubert / Frye* motions.

ED

SO ORDERED.

Dated: 0 | 1 . 2006

AUG 1 6 2006;

NEW YORK JUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

HIRLEY WERNER WORNREICH

7987997v7

Case 1:08-cv-03074-GBD Document 11-2 Filed 04/25/2008 Page 19 of 43

Exhibit F

COU	EME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NTY OF NEW YORK
MAR	GARET STEINHOFF, et ux. IAEL STEINHOFF,
	Plaintiffs,
	- against - Index No.: 111292/06
wholly and Pl owned	ER, INC., PHARMACIA CORPORATION, a y-owned subsidiary of PFIZER, INC., HARMACIA & UPJOHN COMPANY, a wholly- il subsidiary of PHARMACIA CORPORATION, IERCK & CO., INC.
	Defendants.
	PLAINTIFF PROFILE FORM
	her than in Sections I (B), those questions using the term "You" should refer to the person who TOXX®. Please attach as many sheets of paper as necessary to fully answer these questions.
	I. CASE INFORMATION
A. Na	me of person completing this form: Margaret S. Steinhoff
	you are completing this questionnaire in a representative capacity (e.g., on behalf of the estate ceased person or a minor), please complete the following:
1.	Social Security Number:
2.	Maiden or other names used or by which you have been known:
3.	Address:
4.	State which individual or estate you are representing, and in what capacity you are representing the individual or estate?
5.	If you were appointed as a representative by a court, state the:  Court: Date of Appointment:
6.	What is your relationship to deceased or represented person or person claimed to be injured?
7.	If you represent a decedent's estate, state the date of death of the decedent and the address of the place where the decedent died:

~	~ 1			. •
C	C1a	im	Into	ormatior

		e you claiming that you have or may develop bodily injury as a result of taking VIOXX0 [es X No If "yes,"]
	a.	What is your understanding of the bodily injury you claim resulted from your use of VIOXX®? Congestive heart failure (CHF) and mild cardiomyopathy with predomina diastolic dysfunction and mild aortic insufficiency
	b.	When do you claim this injury occurred? <u>CHF diagnosed by EKG</u> 9/17/02; cardiomyopathy diagnosed July 2003 per cardiac catheterization
	c.	Who diagnosed the condition? Steven Gulotta, M.D. and John Biasetti, M.D.
	d.	Did you ever suffer this type of injury prior to the date set forth in answer to the prior question? Yes No _X If "yes," when and who diagnosed the condition at that time?
	e.	Do you claim that your use of VIOXX® worsened a condition that you already had or had in the past? Yes NoX If "yes," set forth the injury or condition; whether or not you had already recovered from that injury or condition before you took VIOXX®; and the date of recovery, if any.
D.	Yes	u claiming mental and/or emotional damages as a consequence of VIOXX®?  X No  "for each provider (including but not limited to primary care physician, psychiatrist, logist, counselor) from whom have sought treatment for psychological, psychiatric or nal problems during the last ten (10) years, state:  me and address of each person who treated you: Steven Zaslow, M.D. & Mickaella
		reta, P.hD.; 3900 Arctic Avenue, Suite 260, Bohemai, NY 11716
		your understanding, condition for which treated: <u>Bi-polar disorder, anxiety &amp; ression</u>
	c. Wh	en treated: Approx. 2000-present
	d. Me	dications prescribed or recommended by provider: Zanax, Zyprexa, Lamictal,
	n.	PERSONAL INFORMATION OF THE PERSON WHO USED VIOXX®
A.	Name:	MARGARET STEINHOFF
В.	Maiden	or other names used or by which you have been known: Margaret Smith
C.	Social S	Security Number:

D. Address: 1 Piper C	ourt. E	ast Patchogue, Ne	w York 11772		
E. Identify each address when you started and sto		•	ed during the last	ten (	(10) years, and list
Address			Dates of Residence	ce	
1 Piper Ct , East Patchog	gue, NY	7 11772	27 yrs		
					<del></del>
F. Driver's License Nu	mhar n	nd State Issuing I	icana.		
F. Driver's License Nu	IIIUCI a	no State issuing L.	icense:		
G. Date and Place of Bi	rth:		Memorial Hospi	tal, l	Patchogue, NY 11772
H. Sex: Male Fem	ale X	William Control of the Control of th			
	attend	ed (even if not cor	npleted), the dates		ity or other educational attendance, courses of
Institution		Dates Attended	Course of Study	y	Diplomas or Degrees
Suffolk County Commun College, Selden, NY	nity	1983 – <del>2005</del> part-time 1985	Liberal Arts		Associates Degree
St. Joseph's College Patchogue, NY		1987-1998	Childhood Studi	es	Bachelor of Arts
Long Island University Brentwood Campus, NY	,	1998-2000	Special Education	n	Masters Degree
J. Employment Information 1. Current employe		ot currently employ	ved, last employer	):	
Name	Addr	ess	Dates of	Oc	cupation/Job Duties
			Employment		
Utopia Home	116 E	Main St,	Nov. 2005-	Но	me Health Aide
Health Care	Patch	ogue, NY	Dec. 2006		
2. List the followin	g for e	ach employer you	have had in the las	st ten	n (10) years:
Name	Addı		Dates of		cupation/Job Duties

	School District	E. Patchogue	Sept. 2004	<u></u>	l	
3.	Are you making a w	age loss claim for eit	her your present or p	revious employment?		
Yes <u>X</u> No						
	If "yes," state your	annual income at the	time of the injury all	eged in		
	Section IC:	·			_	

189 N Dunton Ave,

South Country

**Employment** 

Substitute teacher

Sept 1999-

K.	Military Service Information: Have you ever served in the military, including the military reserve or national guard? Yes No _X				
		"yes," were you ever rejected or discharged from military service for any reason relating your physical, psychiatric or emotional condition? Yes No			
L.	Ins	urance / Claim Information:			
	1.	Have you ever filed a worker's compensation and/or social security disability (SSI or SSD) claim? Yes No _X If "yes," to the best of your knowledge please state:			
		a. Year claim was filed:			
		b. Nature of disability:			
		c. Approximate period of disability:			
	2.	Have you ever been out of work for more than thirty (30) days for reasons related to you health (other than pregnancy)? Yes X No If "yes," set forth when and the reason. Heart condition, unable to perform as a teacher due to side effects of medications for heart. November 28, 2006 - present.			
	3.	Have you ever filed a lawsuit or made a claim, other than in the present suit, relating to any bodily injury? Yes X No If "yes," state to the best of your knowledge the court in which such action was filed, case name and/or names of adverse parties, and a brief description for the claims asserted. New York Supreme Court for the County of New York, Index No. 102548/96, Margaret Steinhoff v. Eli Lilly and Company, et al., product liability action against manufacturers of DES for personal injuries sustained while a fetus in utero due to mother's ingestion of this miscarriage drug.			
M.	dis	an adult, have you been convicted of, or plead guilty to, a felony and/or crime of fraud o honesty? Yes No _X If "yes," set forth where, when and the felony and/or me			
		III. FAMILY INFORMATION			
A.	Lis	t for each marriage:			
	1. 7	The name of your spouse Michael Steinhoff			
	2. 5	Spouse's date of birth (for your current spouse only)			
	3. 5	Spouse's occupation NYS Public School Teacher			
		Date of marriage 11/23/1980			
	5. I	Date the marriage ended, if applicable Not applicable			
	6. I	How the marriage ended (e.g., divorce, annulment, death):			

	Has your spouse filed a loss of consortium claim in this action? Yes X No
C.	To the best of your knowledge did any child, parent, sibling, or grandparent of yours suffer from any type of cardiovascular disease including but not limited to: heart attack, abnormal rhythm, arteriosclerosis (hardening or the arteries), murmur, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, enlarged heart, leaking valves or prolapse, heart block, congenital heart abnormality, Scarlet Fever, Rheumatic Fever, atrial fibrillation, stroke?
	Yes X No Don't Know If "yes," identify each such person below and provide the information requested.
	Name: Angus W. Smith
	Current Age (or Age at Death): 55 yrs old
	Type of Problem: Arteriosclerosis, bypass surgery performed
	If Applicable, Cause of Death: Heart attack
_	YC 1' 11 C - 1 C - 1'11 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1
D.	If applicable, for each of your children, list his/her name, age and address:
	1 Piper Court, East Patchogue, NY 11772
_	
	same as parents
E.	If you are claiming the wrongful death of a family member, list any and all heirs of the decedent. Not applicable
Α.	IV. VIOXX® PRESCRIPTION INFORMATION
	Who prescribed VIOXX® for you? John P. Biasetti, M.D.
	Who prescribed VIOXX® for you? John P. Biasetti, M.D.  On which dates did you begin to take, and stop taking, VIOXX®? Began10/14/99; and stopped 9/30/04
В.	On which dates did you begin to take, and stop taking, VIOXX®? Began10/14/99; and
В. С.	On which dates did you begin to take, and stop taking, VIOXX®? Began10/14/99; and stopped 9/30/04  Did you take VIOXX® continuously during that period? Yes No X Don't recall
В. С.	On which dates did you begin to take, and stop taking, VIOXX®? Began10/14/99; and stopped 9/30/04  Did you take VIOXX® continuously during that period? Yes No X Don't recall  To your understanding, for what condition were you prescribed VIOXX®?
В. С.	On which dates did you begin to take, and stop taking, VIOXX®? Began10/14/99; and stopped 9/30/04  Did you take VIOXX® continuously during that period? Yes No X Don't recall
В. С. D.	On which dates did you begin to take, and stop taking, VIOXX®? Began10/14/99; and stopped 9/30/04  Did you take VIOXX® continuously during that period? Yes No X Don't recall  To your understanding, for what condition were you prescribed VIOXX®?
B. C. D. E.	On which dates did you begin to take, and stop taking, VIOXX®? Began10/14/99; and stopped 9/30/04  Did you take VIOXX® continuously during that period? Yes No X Don't recall  To your understanding, for what condition were you prescribed VIOXX®?  Severe bone pain of entire body, flu like symptoms all the time.

	50 mg Tablet (round, orange, MRK 114)
H.	How many times per day did you take VIOXX®? Once per day
[.	Did you request that any doctor or clinic provide you with VIOXX® or a prescription for VIOXX®? Yes No _X Don't recall
J.	Instructions or Warnings:  1. Did you receive any written or oral information about VIOXX® before you took it? Yes NoX Don't Recall
	2. Did you receive any written or oral information about VIOXX® while you took it? Yes No Don't Recall _ X
	3. If "yes,"  a. When did you receive that information?  b. From whom did you receive it?  c. What information did you receive?
K.	What over-the-counter pain relief medications, if any, were you taking at the same time you were taking VIOXX®? Tylenol Extended Release
	V. MEDICAL BACKGROUND
Α.	Height:5' 7½"
В.	Current Weight: 170 Weight at the time of the injury, illness, or disability described in Section I(C): 180-213 lbs
C.	Smoking/Tobacco Use History: Check the answer and fill in the blanks applicable to your history of smoking and/or tobacco use.  X Never smoked cigarettes/cigars/pipe tobacco or used chewing tobacco/snuff.  Past smoker of cigarettes/cigars/pipe tobacco or used chewing tobacco/snuff.  a. Date on which smoking/tobacco use ceased:  b. Amount smoked or used: on average per day for years.  Current smoker of cigarettes/cigars/pipe tobacco or user of chewing tobacco/snuff.  a. Amount smoked or used: on average per day for years.  Smoked different amounts at different times.
D.	Drinking History. Do you now drink or have you in the past drank alcohol (beer, wine, whiskey, etc.)? Yes X No If "yes," fill in the appropriate blank with the number of drinks that represents your average alcohol consumption during the period you were taking VIOXX® up to the time that you sustained the injuries alleged in the complaint:  drinks per week, drinks per month,

Diagnostic Test

When

	drinks per yo Other (describe):		e every 4 to 6	months at spec	ial family c	elebratio	ons
E.		y time a	after, you first Don't recall	t experienced ye	our alleged	VIOXX	wind within one (1) (8)- related injury?" stance and state
F.	following treatments. Cardiovascul condition the	ents or d ar surge surgery gery, IV	liagnostic pro ries, includin was perform	cedures: g, but not limite ed: open heart/b	d to, the followpass surge	llowing, ery, pace	and specify for what emaker implantation, tery, lung resection,
Su	rgery	Condit	ion	When	Treating Physician		Hospital
			myopathy/ c dysfunction	7/1/03	Stephen J. Gulotta, MD		St. Francis
1	rdiac theterication	Cardio	nyopathy	12/12/06	John P. De MD	rvan,	Stony Brook University
Oı	oen heart surgery	Conges failure	tive heart	12/13/06	Frank Seife	ert, MD	Stony Brook University
						- <u>-</u>	
	2. Treatments/is	ntervent	ions for heart	attack, angina (	(chest pain)	, or lung	ailments:
	Treatment/Interv	ention	When	Treating Physi	cian	Hospita	al
	Coreg		2002-2005	Steven Gulotta,	M.D.	St. Fran	icis
Lasix		66	John Biasetti, M	1.D.	in office		
Vasotec		56	<del>John Biasetti, N</del>		John T. Mather Memorial		
North	scan, MRI, an endoscopy, la angiogram of monitor?	giogram ang broi f the hea	n, EKG, echoc nchoscopy, ca nd/neck, CT s	eardiogram, TEF arotid duplex/ul scan of the head	owing tests  (trans-eso)  trasound, N  d, bubble/n	s perform phageal of MRI/MR nicrobub	ned: chest Xray, CT echo), bleeding scan, A of the head/neck, ble study, or Holter
	Yes <u>X</u> N	U	Don't Recal	1 ij "yes,	," answer tl	TE TOHOY	ving.

Treating Physician

Hospital

Reason

Cardiac perfusion study	10/8/02	John P., Biasetti, M.D.		Cardiac symptoms
Echocardiogram ULT Doppler Echocardiography	9/17/02	John P. Biasetti, M.D.	John T. Mather Memorial	Congestive heart failure
CAT CT Chest	12/17/02	John P. Biasetti, M.D.	John T. Mather Memorial	Congestive heart failure
Echocardiogram	4/7/04	Stephen J. Gulotta, MD	St. Francis	Lungs filled with fluid, retaining fluid, chest congestion
ECG	2/11/04 11/9/03 8/9/03	Stephen J. Gulotta, MD	St. Francis	Chest congestion
Dual-isotope Spect Street and Rest Study	10/8/02	David M. Franko, M.D.	N.A.	Cardiac symptoms
EKG	5/6/03	William E. Lawson, M.D.	Stony Brook University Health Sciences Center	Ongoing treatment of cardiomyopathy and valvular heart disease
Echocardiogram	5/10/00	William E. Lawson, M.D.	Stony Brook University Health Sciences Center	Ongoing treatment of cardiomyopathy and valvular heart disease

### VI. DOCUMENTS

Please indicate if any of the following documents and things are currently in your possession, custody, or control, or in the possession, custody, or control of your lawyers by checking "yes" or "no." Where you have indicated "yes," please attach the documents and things to your responses to this profile form.

	Records of physicians, hospitals, pharmacies, and other healthcare providers identified in ponse to this profile form. Yes X No
В.	Decedent's death certificate (if applicable). Yes No
C.	Report of autopsy of decedent (if applicable). Yes No

## VII. LIST OF MEDICAL PROVIDERS AND OTHER SOURCES OF INFORMATION

List the name and address of each of the following:

A. Your current family and/or primary care physician:

11-2 Filed 04/25/2008	Page
-----------------------	------

Name	Address
John P. Biasetti, M.D.	116 Terryville Road, Box AU, Port Jefferson, NY

B. To the best of your ability, identify each of your primary care physicians for the last ten (10)

I	Name	Address	Approximate Dates
	John P. Biasetti, M.D.	See above	1978 to present

C. Each hospital, clinic, or healthcare facility where you have received inpatient treatment or been

admitted as a patient during the last ten (10) years.

Name	Address	Admission Dates	Reason for Admission
Stony Brook University Hospital		12/12/06	Cardiac catheterization and open heart surgery
John T. Mather Memorial Hosp	North Country Rd., Port Jefferson, NY 11777	11/16/01	Esophagogastro- duodenoscopy

D. Each hospital, clinic, or healthcare facility where you have received outpatient treatment

(including treatment in an emergency room) during the last ten (10) years.

Name	Address	Admission Dates	Reason for Admission
John T. Mather Memorial Hospital	North Country Rd, Port Jefferson, NY 11777	11/16/01 12/17/02	Nausea, dizziness, weakness and sweating, hypotension; testing
St. Francis Hospital	Port Washington Blvd Roslyn, NY 11576	4/7/04 2/11/04 11/9/03 8/9/03	Testing; chest congestion
Stony Brook University Health Sciences Center	Nichols Road Stony Brook, NY 11794	5/6/03 5/10/00	Testing re: cardiomyopathy and valvular heart disease
North Suffolk Cardiology Assoc	45 Research Way, E Setauket, NY 11733	2002	Testing

E. Each physician or healthcare provider from whom you have received treatment in the last ten

(10) years.

Name	Address	Dates of Treatment
John P. Dervan, M.D.	Heart Associates of Long Island PLLC 220 Belle Mead Road East Setauket, NY 11733	02/12/06-present

Frank Seifert, M.D.	Division of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery Stony Brook University Hospital HSC TIG 080 S.U.N.Y. Stony Brook, NY 11794	12/13/06
John P. Biasetti, M.D.	116 Terryville Rd, Box AU, Port Jefferson Station, NY 11776	1978 to present
Lena Mitchell, M.D.	2500 Nesconsett Hwy Bldg 3 Stony Brook, NY 11790	1997-present
Jay M. Barbakoff, M.D.	North Shore Pulmonary Associates LLP 60 North Country Road, Suite 203 Port Jefferson, NY 11777	8/14/02
Mark L. Bernstein, M.D.	2500 Rt 347 Suite D Stony Brook, NY 11790	6/17/02
Maria A. Basile, M.D.	3400 Nesconset Hwy Suite 100, East Setauket, NY 11733	2002-2003 or 2004
Stephen Gulotta, M.D.	100 Port Washington Blvd Roslyn, NY 11576	6/24/03 - 6/8/04
William E. Lawson, M.D.	Stony Brook University Health Sciences Center, School of Medicine Department of Cardiology HSC Level 17, Room 020 Stony Brook, NY 11794-8171	@ 2/2001 - 5/6/03
Richard M. Savino, M.D.	Port Jefferson Specialty Associates LLP, and Long Island Bone & Joint, LLP 635 Belle Terre Rd, Port Jefferson, NY 11777	12/26/01, 1/22/02
Long Island Family Medical Group	160 Middle Road, Suite 3 Sayville, NY	1997-present
Stephanie Gilleran, D.O.	Suffolk Chest Physicians, LLP 73 South Ocean Avenue Pathogue, NY 11772	8/11/04
David M. Franko, M.D.	Nuclear Cardiac Scanning Stony Brook Medical Park 2500 - 1 Nesconset Hwy. Stony Brook, NY 11790	10/8/02
Gary Bernstein, M.D. Scott Biasetti, M.D.	John T. Mather Memorial Hospital Port Jefferson, NY 11777	11/16/01 - 11/19/01

F. Each pharmacy that has dispensed medication to you in the last ten (10) years.

Name	Address
Walgreens #6552	Montauk Highway, E. Patchogue, NY (records: 8350 S. River Pkwy, Tempe, AZ 85284)
CVS Pharmacy #0848	107 South Country Road, Bellport, NY 11713
Swan Pharmacy	525 East Main Street, E. Patchogue, NY

G. If you have submitted a claim for social security disability benefits in the last ten (10) years, state the name and address of the office that is most likely to have records concerning your claim.

Name	Address
Not applicable	

H. If you have submitted a claim for worker's compensation, state the name and address of the entity that is most likely to have records concerning your claim.

Name	Address
Not applicable	

### **CERTIFICATION**

I declare under penalty of perjury subject to N.Y. C.P.L.R. 3133(b) (McKinney 2005) that all of the information provided in this Profile Form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, that I have completed the List of Medical Providers and Other Sources of Information appended hereto, which is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, that I have supplied all the documents requested in part VI of this declaration, to the extent that such documents are in my possession, custody, or control, or in the possession, custody, or control of my lawyers, and that I have supplied the authorizations attached to this declaration.

Margaret Steinhoff MARLANET STEINTHOFF 10/11/07
Signature Print Name Date

Case 1:08-cv-03074-GBD Document 11-2 Filed 04/25/2008 Page 31 of 43

Exhibit G

0848	PRESCRIPTION RECORD	THRU 11/22/2004
PHARMACY #0848	CRIPTIO	THRU 11
CVS PHA	NT PRES	01/01/1999
	ATIENT	0/10

PHARMACY NAME: CVS PHARMACY #0848
ADDRESS: 107 SOUTH COUNTRY ROAD
CITY, ST, ZIP: BELLPORT NY 117130000

631-654-0285 06/17/1959

TELEPHONE: 631-(
BIRTHDATE: 06/1'
SEX: F
RELATIONSHIP: H

NY 117720600

PATIENT KEY: 02531401
PATIENT NAME: MARGARET STEINHOFF
ADDRESS: 1 PIPER COURT
CITY, ST, ZIP: PATCHOGUE NY 1.

CURRENT ALLERGIES ON RECORD: None communicated by the patient

PAGE: 1 DATE: 11/22/2004 TIME: 15:13:32

CURRENT (	CURRENT CONDITIONS ON RECORD: None communicated	DITIONS ON RECORD: None communicated by the patient	•					Ÿ		
RX NUMBER R	NDC RFL NUMBER	DRUG DESCRIPTION	PRESCRIBER NAME	DATE RPH FILLED INT	QUANT P	PRIMARY :	SCDRY TOTAL PAYER # PRICE	PRIMARY PD AMT	SCDRY PD AME	PATIENT PD AMT
379266	59762332701	59762332701 METHYLPREDNISOLONE 4MG DSPKGRE	MG DSPKGRE SAVINO, RICHARD D	02/13/2002 BB	21	4212	5.00	00		5.00
422818	00378400105	00378400105 ALPRAZOLAM 0.25 MG TABLET MYL	Zaslow, Stephen	03/22/2003 SW	90	4212		20.79		5.00
422817	00078033705	00078033705 TRILEPTAL 300 MG TABLET SAN	ZASLOW, STEPHEN	03/22/2003 SW	60 60 60 64193	4212	100.84	85.84		15.00
422816	00378262501	00378262501 AMITRIPTYLINE HCL 25 MG TABMYL	mg tabmyl zaslow, stephen	03/22/2003 SW	30	4212	8.72	3.72		5.00
424765	00597008214	00597008214 ATROVENT INHALER B-I	BIASETTI, JOHN P	04/09/2003 NS PRIMARY AUTH #	29.4	4212		84.24		15.00
425307	00078033709	00078033705 TRILEPTAL 300 MG TABLET SAN	ZASLOW, STEPNHEN	04/14/2003 NS PRIMARY AUTH #	180	4212	298.52 SECNDRY AUTH #	283.52		15.00
422816	1 0037826250:	1 00378262501 AMITRIPTYLINE HCL 25 MG TABMYI	MG TABMYL ZASLOW, STEPHEN	04/27/2003 SW PRIMARY AUTH #	30	4212	8.72 SECNDRY AUTH #	3.72		5.00
427417	0007466241	00074662413 SYNTHROID 100 MCG TABLET ABB	BIASETTI, JOHN P	05/03/2003 NS PRIMARY AUTH #	90	4212	36.71 SECNDRY AUTH #	21.71		15.00
427416	00000001106	00006011068 VIOXX 25 MG TABLET MSD	BIASETTI, JOHN P	05/03/2003 NS		4212	221.98 SECMDRY ALTH	206.98		15.00
425307	1 0007803370	1 00078033705 TRILEPTAL 300 MG TABLET SAN	ZASLOW, STEPNHEN	05/09/2003 NS		4212	298.52 SECNDRY AITH	283.52		15.00
428750	0037840010	00378400105 ALPRAZOLAM 0.25 MG TABLET MYL	zaslow, stepnhen	05/15/2003 JP		4212	25.79 SECNDRY AUTH	20.79		5.00
422816		2 00378262501 AMITRIPIYLINE HCL 25 MG TABMYI	MG TABMYL ZASLOW, STEPHEN	06/04/2003 TV		4212	7.67	2.67		5.00
431344	5326507611	53265076112 HYDROCORTISONE AC 25 MG SUPABI	MG SUPABL BIASETTI, JOHN P	06/07/2003 NS		4212		3.07		5.00
430803	0037840010	00378400105 ALPRAZOLAM 0.25 MG TABLET MYL	ZASLOW, STEPNHEN	06/07/2003 NS		4212		20.79		5.00
425307	2 0007803370	2 00078033705 TRILEPTAL 300 MG TABLET SAN	Zaslow, stepnhen	06/11/2003 TV		4212	298.52 298.52	283.52		15.00
432823		S1672404806 CLOTRIMAZOLE/BETAMETH CREANTAI	CREAMTAR BIASETTI, JOHN P	06/20/2003 NS PRIMARY AUTH #		4212	SECNDRY AUTH 4	24.69		5.00
432822		00002411260 ZYPREKA 2.5 MG TABLET LIL	ZASLOW, STEPNHEN	06/20/2003 NS PRIMARY AUTH #		4212	275.78 SECNDRY AUTH	260.78	٠	15.00
434099		00007413920 COREG 3,125 MG TABLET GSK	BIASETTI, JOHN P	07/01/2003 IR PRIMARY AUTH #		4212		2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3		. 15.00

15.00 5.00

15.00

15.00 15.00

15.00 15.00 5.00

5.00

15.00

5.00 15.00 15.00 15.00

15.00

15.00 5.00

15.00

CVS PHARMACY #0848
PATIBNY PRESCRIPTION RECORD
01/01/1999 THRU 11/22/2004

4

15.00

15.00

15.00

S H	VACY #0848 H COUNTRY ROAD	CVS PHARMACY #0848 PATIENT PRESCRIPTION RECORD 01/01/1999 THRU 11/22/2004	RD			·	DATE	DATE: 11/22/2004
CITY, ST, ZIP: BELLPORT PATIENT KEY: 02531401 PATIENT NAME: MARGARET STEI ADDRESS: 1 PIPER COURT CITY, ST, ZIP: PATCHOGUE	NY 117130000 STEINHOFF SOURT NY 117720000	TELEPHONE: 63 BIRTHDATE: 06 SEX: F RELATIONSHIP; H	631-654-0285 06/17/1959 P P: H			·		
NDC DRUG RPL NUMBER	DRUG DESCRIPTION	PRESCRIBER NAME	DATE RPH FILLED INT	QUANT DISP.	PRIMARY PAYER #	SCDRY PRYER #	TOTAL	PRIMARY PD AMT
51672404806 CLO	CLOTRIMAZOLE/BETAMETH CREAMTAR BIASETTI, JOHN	BIASETTI, JOHN P	10/02/2003 NS	45	4212		29.69	24.69
00078033705 TR	TRILEPTAL 300 MG TABLET SAN	ZASLOW, STEPHEN	PRIMARY AUTH # 10/05/2003 TV	: 68550216 180	4212	SECND		291.25
00378262501 AM	AMITRIPIYLINE HCL 25 MG TABMYL, ZASLOW, STEPHEN	Zaslow, stephen	PRIMARY AUTH # 10/05/2003 TV	; 11657917 30	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH # 8.18	3.18
00007414020 CC	COREG 6.25 MG TABLET GSK	GULOTTA, STEPHEN J	PRIMARY AUTH # 10/16/2003 NS	: 11625917 60	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH # 93.79	78.79
00591538201 M	METHOCARBAMOL 750 MG TABLETWAT BIASETTI, JOHN	BIASETTI, JOHN P	PRIMARY AUTH # 10/20/2003 TV	: 11729387 40	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH # 14.10	9.10
00597008214 A	ATROVENT INHALER B-I	BIASETTI, JOHN P	PRIMARY AUTH # 10/20/2003 TV	11566694	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH #	; 84.24
00074662413	SYNTHROID 100 MCG TABLET ABB	BIASETTI, JOHN P	PRIMARY AUTH # 10/28/2003 NS	: 11075574 90	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH # 40.43	25.43
51672404806 (	CLOTRIMAZOLE/BETAMETH CREAMTAR BIASETTI, JOHN	BIASETTI, JOHN P	PRIMARY AUTH # 10/28/2003 NS	: 11991535 45	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH #	25.23
00378262501 3	amitripitine hcl 25 mg tabmyl zaslow, stephen	ZASLOW, STEPHEN	PRIMARY AUTH # 10/28/2003 NS	11470552	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH #	α 
00062125115	ORTHO TRI-CYCLEN LO TABLET ORT KOZLOWSKI, DOROTHY N	KOZLOWSKI, DOROTHY N	PRIMARY AUTH # 10/31/2003 NS	: 11310653 28	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH #	20.17
00006011068 V	VIOXX 25 MG TABLET MSD	BIASETTI, JOHN P	PRIMARY AUTH # 10/31/2003 NS	: 11835594 90	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH # 232.54	217.54
00088110947 #	ALLEGRA 180 MG TABLET AVE	BIASETTI, JOHN P	PRIMARY AUTH # 10/31/2003 NS	: 11577758 90	4212	CINDES .	SECNDRY AUTH # 189.08	174.08
00378400105 #	ALPRAZOLAM 0.25 MG TABLET MYL	ZASLOW, STEPHEN	PRIMARY AUTH # 11/03/2003 TV	: 11365466 90	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH #	20.79
00007414120 0	COREG 12.5 MG TABLET GSK	GULOTTA, STEPHEN J	11/06/2003 TV	: 11887067 180	4212	GECNO	SECNDRY AUTH #	1 260 38
00456315467 2	AEROCHAMBER FOR	BIASETTI, JOHN P	PRIMARY AUTH # 11/06/2003 TV	: 11480738	-	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH #	2 2
00555081402 0	CIPROFLOXACIN HCL 250 MG TABRR BIASETTI, SCOTT A	BIASETTI, SCOTT A	PRIMARY AUTH # 11/09/2003 TV	* #	4212	SECNO	SECNDRY AUTH #	45.97
00078033708	TRILEPTAL 300 MG TABLET SAN	ZASLOW, STEPHEN	PRIMARY AUTH # 11/13/2003 TV	: 11825680 180	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH #	291.25
62856024330 7	ACIPHEX 20 MG TABLET EC EIS	BIASETTI, JOHN P	PRIMARY AUTH # 11/14/2003 NS	: 11130169 90	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH #	327.80
00378400105 #	ALPRAZOLAM 0.25 MG TABLET MYL	ZASLOW, STEPHEN	PRIMARY AUTH # 11/25/2003 NS	: 11083876 90	4212	SECND	SECNDRY AUTH # 25.79	20.79
00378262501 2	AMITRIPITLINE HCL 25 MG TABMYL ZASLOW, STEPHEN	ZASLOW, STEPHEN	PRIMARY AUTH # 11/26/2003 NS	30	4212	SECND		3.18
1 00378021610 E	furosemide 40 mg tablet myl	BIASETTI, JOHN P	12/08/2003 NS PRIMARY AUTH #	1 12551096 90 : 12746360	4212	SECNO	SECNDRY AUTH # 10.65 SECNDRY AUTH #	5,65

CVS PHARMACY #0848 PATIENT PRESCRIPTION RECORD 01/01/1999 THRU 11/22/2004
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

PHARMACY NAME: CVS PHARMACY #0848
ADDRESS: 107 SOUTH COUNTRY ROAD
CITY, ST, ZIP, BELLPORT NY 117130000

PAGw: 4 DATE: 11/22/2004 TIME: 15:13:32

	TOTAL PRIMARY SCDRY PATIENT PRICE PD AMT PD AMT PD AMT	35.17 20.17 15.00	60.97 45.97 15.00	SECNDRY AUTH # : 285.64 15.00	SECNDRY AUTH # : 15.00	BECNDRY AUTH # : 217.54 15.00	: # ::	40.43 25.43 15.00 SECNDRY AUTH # :		SECNDRY AUTH # : 37.07 .5.00	# 11	13.45 8.45 5.00	SECNDRY AUTH # : 108.38 93.38 15.00	##	360.86 345.86 15.00	37.07 32.07 5.00	* # 11	5.00 5.00	31,33 26,33 5.00		7.66 2.66 5.00		: #1		SECNDAR AUTH # : 29.17 24.17 5.00		SECNDRY AUTH # :	5.32 .56 4.76	SECNDRY AUTH # :
·	PRIMARY SCDRY PAYER # PAYER #	4212	4212	4212		8ECN		4212 SECN	4212	4212		4212	4212		4212	4212		4212	4212		4212	4212		4212	4212 SECN	SECN		4212	NO SECTION
	QUANT PR	28	14	: 12001823 180	: 12390590 84	13614330	: 13061343	90	180	: 13328363 80	: 13076941	30	29.4	: 63084362	90	4 13230332	: 63634482	16	45	: 64062018	90	12	: 13857887	14	180	: 64990364	: 14333192	30	: 64820835
631-654-0285 06/17/1959 F	DATE RPH FILLED INT	12/10/2003 TV	12/10/2003 TV	12/20/2003 TV	PRIMARY AUTH # 01/22/2004 NS	PRIMARY AUTH # 01/22/2004 NS	PRIMARY AUTH #	01/22/2004 NS PRIMARY AUTH #	01/23/2004 NS	PRIMARY AUTH # 01/26/2004 TV	PRIMARY AUTH #	01/29/2004 TV	02/04/2004 TV	PRIMARY AUTH #	02/04/2004 TV	02/12/2004 TV	PRIMARY AUTH #	02/20/2004 NS	02/21/2004 TV	PRIMARY AUTH #	02/22/2004 TV	02/25/2004 TV	PRIMARY AUTH #	02/25/2004 TV	03/10/2004 TV	PRIMARY AUTH #	PRIMARY AUTH #	03/17/2004 TV	PRIMARI AUTH #
TELEPHONE: 63 BIRTHDATE: 06 BEX: F RELATIONSHIP: H	PRESCRIBER NAME	et kozlowski, dorothy n	R BIASETTI, SCOTT A	BIASETTI, JOHN P	BLET ORT KOZLOWSKI, DOROTHY N	BIASETTI.JOHN P		BIASETTI, JOHN P	BIASETTI, JOHN P	CAPSTEV ANTHONY, ROBERT W	•	FRANCIS, GENINE M	BIASETTI, JOHN P		BIASETTI, JOHN P	CAPSTEV ANTHONY, ROBERT W		TAB TEV GRASKEMPER, JOSEPH	CREAMTAR BIASETTI, JOHN P		L Zaslow, Stephen	BL BIASETTI, JOHN P		MG TABRR BLASETTI, SCOPT A	TAB MYL BIASETTI, SCOTT A	TABLOW SURBNIEW		CRM TAR PETERS, G. M	•
TEINHOFF URT NY 117720000	DRUG DESCRIPTION	1 00062125115 ORTHO TRI-CYCLEN LO TABLET ORT KOZLOWSKI, DOROTHY N	2 00555081402 CIPROFLOXACIN HCL 250 MG TABRR BIASETTI, SCOTT	00007414220 COREG 25 MG TABLET GSK	00062125115 ORTHO TRI-CYCLEN LO TABLET OE	00006011068 VIOXX 25 MG TABLET MSD		3 00074662413 SYNTHROID 100 MCG TABLET ABB	00378020801 FUROSEMIDE 20 MG TABLET MYL	00093317101 CLINDAMYCIN HCL 150 MG CAPSTE		58177021304 GUAIFENEX DM TABLET SA ETH	00597008214 ATROVENT INHALER B-I		62856024330 ACIPHEX 20 MG TABLET EC EIS	1 00093317101 CLINDAMYCIN HCL 150 MG CAPST		00093089005 PROPOXY-N/APAP 100-650 TAB TI	51672404806 CLOTRIMAZOLE/BETAMETH CREAMIN		00378400105 ALPRAZOLAM 0.25 MG TABLET MYL	53265076112 HYDROCORTISONE AC 25 MG SUPABL BIASETTI, JOHN P		3 00555081402 CIPROFLOXACIN HCL 250 MG TAB	00378105201 ENALAPRIL MALEATĖ S MG TAB M	00278400105 at.PRaZOLaw 0 25 Mg tagler Myt.		51672126303 NYSTATIN/TRIAMCINOLONE CRM TO	
PATIENT KEY: 02531401 PATIENT NAME: MARGARET STEINHOFF ADDRESS: 1 PIPER COURT CITY, ST, ZIP: PATCHOGUE NY	NDC D	00062125115 0	00555081402 C	00007414220 C	00062125115 0	3 00006011068 V		3 00074562413 S	00378020801 F	00093317101 C		58177021304 G	2 00597008214 A		1 62856024330 A	1 00093317101 C		3 50068066000	3 51672404806 0		00378400105 7	1 53265076112 #		3 00555081402 (	00378105201	8 20100487800		51672126302 1	
PATIENT KEY: PATIENT NAME: ADDRESS: CITY, ST, ZIP	RX NUMBER RFL	447853 1	441000 2	454018	458000	427416 3		427417 3	458206	458493		458860	424765 2		449393 1	458493 1		461332	432823		461478	431344		441000	463657	464168		464413	

CVS PHARMACY #0848 PATIENT PRESCRIPTION RECORD 01/01/1999 THRU 11/22/2004
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

PHDRMACY NAME: CVS PHARMACY #0848
ADDRESS: 107 SOUTH COUNTRY ROAD
CITY, ST, ZIP: BELLPORT NY 117130000

PALJU: 6 DATE: 11/22/2004 TIME: 15:13:32

		PÁTIENT T PD AMT	5.00	5.00	15.00	15.00	. 00°5	15.00	5.00	00.8	i (	00.4	5.00	15.00		15.00	15.00	,	15.00	15.00	r .	1 ) -	15.00	20		5.00	15.00	! ! !	5.00
		Y SCDRY	_								~ . <u>:</u>			_									_				•		
		PRIMARY PD AMT	4.08	* *.08	# : 92.39	270.64	12.26	12.93	. 4.38	#: 23.16		*	1.4.1	# : 228.60		508.66	345.86	 #	£4,88 #	273.82	. 4	-#	12.93	593.76		4.08	# : 279,61		6.44
		TOTAL	80 ° 6				17.26	27.93	SECNDRY AUTH 9.38	SECNDRY AUTH 28.16	SECNDRY AUTH	SECNDRY AUTH		SECNDRY AUTH 243.60	SECNDRY AUTH	523.66 SECTION ATTEM		m	SECNDRY AUTH	288.82				SECMURI ACIH	SECNDRY AUTH	9.08	52CNDKI AUTH 3	SECNDRY AUTH	11.44
		SCDRY PAYER #	. 60			. SECI	IN JOS		SECE	SECNI	SECNI	SECRI		SECO	SECN	מיטמט		SECN	SECN		SPCK	SECN	1	SECE	SECNI	i c	SEC.N.	SECN	ļ
		PRIMARY PAYER #	4212	4212	4212	4212	4212	4212	4212	4212	5 4010		4212	4212		4212	4212		44 ± 4	4212	4212		4212	4212		4212	4212		4212
		QUANT DISP.	90	90	84	180	180	30	120	: 16784300 90	: 67973425 90	1 16043239	17	: 16358842 90	: 67564338	180 : 16050588	Q6 ·	: 67983950	16753346	90	120	: 67729680	30	180	: 67705680	90	90	: 17206020	180
	631~654-0285 06/17/1959 F	DATE RPH FILLED INT	06/10/2004 NS	06/27/2004 ND	07/06/2004 NS PRIMARY ANTH #	07/06/2004 NS PRIMARY AUTH #	07/06/2004 IK	07/15/2004 TV	07/15/2004 TV	PRIMARY AUTH # 07/20/2004 NS	PRIMARY AUTH #	PRIMARY AUTH #	07/21/2004 TV	PKIMARY AUTH # 07/27/2004 NB	PRIMARY AUTH #	07/30/2004 NS PRIMARY AUTH #	08/02/2004 TV	PRIMARY AUTH #	PRIMARY AUTH #	08/17/2004 TV	08/18/2004 TV	PRIMARY AUTH #	38/18/2004 TV	08/18/2004 TV	PRIMARY AUTH #	08/18/2004 TV	08/30/2004 TV	PRIMARY AUTH #	08/30/2004 TV
	TELEPHONE: 633 BINTHDATE: 06/ SEX: F RELATIONSHIP: H	PRESCRIBER NAME	SLAMP BASILE, MARIA	SLAMP BASILE, MARIA,		Blasetti, John P	ZASLOW, STEPNHEN	BASILE, MARIA 07	ZASLOW, STEPNHEN	Д.	SLAMP BASILE, MARIA		BLASETIL, JOHN P	BIASETI, JOHN P 07	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	ZASLOW, STEPHEN	EIS BIASETTI, JOHN P 06	GILL BOARD WANGELIEF		BIASBITI, JOHN P	ZASLOW, STEPNHEN		BASILE, MARIA 06	SAN ZASLOW, STEPNHEN	,		GILLERAN, STEPHANIE	n Mint throughto the	MIL BIRSEITT, CORN F.
F: Detail of LL 120000	PATIENT KEY: 02531401 PATIENT NAME: MARGARET STEINHOFF ADDRESS: 1 PIPER COURT CITY, ST, ZIP: PATCHOGUE NY 11772000	NDC DRUG DESCRIPTION RFL NUMBER	S2152015502 HYOSCYAMINE 0.125 MG TAB	S2152015502 HYOSCYAMINE 0.125 MG TAB	00062125115 ORTHO TRI-CYCLEN LO TABLET ORT PETERS, G. M	00007414220 COREG 25 MG TABLET GSK	00378312501 DOXEPIN 25 MG CAPSULE MYL	66934020030 IB-STAT ORAL SPRAY INK	00378400105 ALPRAZOLAM 0.25 MG TABLET MYL	1 00781518401 LEVOTHYROXINE 100 MCG TABLESAN BIASETTI, JOHN	S2152015502 HYOSCYAMINE 0.125 MG TAB		59930156001 ALBUTEROL 90 MCG INHALER WAR	00006011068 VIOXX 25 MG TABLET MSD	THE PRINCE OF TH	UUI/SUUSSUZ MAMICIAL ZS MG TABLET GSK	62856024330 ACIPHEX 20 MG TABLET EC 1	00008084181 PROTON 40 MS TAXES		00071015623 LIPITOR 20 MG TABLET P-D	00378400105 ALPRAZOLAM 0.25 MG TABLET MYL		66934020030 IB-STAT ORAL SPRAY INK	00078033805 TRILEPTAL 600 MG TABLET		SZISZUISSUZ HIOSCIAMINE O.1ZS MG TAB SLAMP BASILE,MARIA	00008084181 PROTONIX 40 MG TABLET BC WYE	matasa DM oc adimasomia otsocostroo c	
Citt, St, 418; Betarront	PATIENT KEY: PATIENT NAME: ADDRESS: CITY, ST, ZIP	RX NUMBER RFL	474496	474496 1	467464 I	454018 2	477479	478541	478425	467573 1	474495 2		479224	479798	476769	55/n/r	449393 3	480448		472891 1	482506		478541 I	482505		462504	483931	458206	

06/14/200 DRD/WJD 60 3X 0553137 DEPAKOTE Z50MG TABLETS SMITH, C 133 QUAKER PATH SETAUKET, NY 11772 SIG: TK 2 TS PO HS 06/14/2000 60 0 30	00 3 50 ZEPAM 0.5MG TABLETS ER PATH SETAUKET, NY 11772 BID MAX 2 TS D 60 0 30	60 0 30 0 DRD/ZHC 60 VASOTEC 20MG TABLETS 116 TERRYVILLE RD PT JEFF STA, T BID	28 SLETS ET, NY 11772	: 2216 RX#: 0555304 RPH 28 6 28	10/14/1999 AAT/LFD 30 ( 11/05/1999 RTS/VBC 30 ( 07/20/2000 XXX/SCP 30 ( 8X 0545044 LEVLITS-28 TABLETS 28:S GIAMMARINO, A 285 SILLS RD E PATCHOGUE, NY 81G: TK 1 T D	PT JEFF STA,	STEINHOFF , MARGARET 1 PIPER	AX NUMBER DRUG NAME  DOC NAME DOC ADDRESS  ORTG DATE QTY REFILLS DAYS SUPPLY ENTER DATE CIND ENT/VER FILL QTY	PAT LAST NAME FIRST	REPORT: RX0920 11/28/01
ORIG	ORI	10760 ORIG NY 11772	ORIG	INIT: SCP	ORIG RFL001 RFL002 NY 11772	NY 11772	π : Ω	REA		
ABBOTT	G PUREPAC I0760783	10760850 G MERCK 11772	PUREPAC	SCP ENT INIT: SCP	BERLEX	MERCK 772	CT. EAST PATCHOGUE, NY 11772	DRUG ME	PAT ADDRESS	NY WALGREENS
5.00	15.00	5.00	15.00	rr: sci	10.00 10.00 15.00		OGUE, N	'R CUST AMT	•	NS PUR
10.64	130.67	10.07	13.30	06/29/2000	556, 24 53, 24		Y 11772	TOT AMT		PURGED DATA FOR STORE
06/14/2000 RX NYGE	06/08/2000 C4 NYGE	05/11/2000 RX NYGE	04/24/2000 C4 NYGE	XFER FROM ST	10/14/1999 11/07/1999 07/20/2000 RX NYGE	RX NYGE		CTL PLAN D		ORE 02216
00000326642320 (631)689·5664 MS0433312	00000325880874 (631)689-5664 MS0433312	00000321450784 (631)928-2002 AB0714508	00000318526324 (631)689-5664 MS0433312	XFFR FROM STORE DEA: BW2324161 RPH INIT: GGH	00000287844189 00000291234645 00000331779684 (631)475-4404 BG0896691	(631)928-2002 AB0714508	1631186.0000	DOC PHONE# DEA#	PAT PHONE# BIRTH DATE	
NYCE	NYGE	EDAN	NYGE	: GGH	BACK NACE NACE	00/1// TA3A	06/10/1000	dde plan	BIRTH DATE	PAGE: 33302

REPORT: RX0920 ' 02/23/02	NY WALGREENS PURGED DATA FOR STORE	אַריניט ממטייא פּטאַ		
PAT LAST NAME FIRST			PAT PHONE# BIRTH DATE	PAGE: 33236 NIRTH DATE
	DRUG MFR	CTL PLAN	DOC PHONE# DEA#	
TE CIND ENT/VER FILL QTY REI	COMMENTS CUST AMP	TOT AMT FILL SOLD DATE	CLAIM #	PLAN
09/18/2000 SNK/SNK 60 ORIG RX 0565629 ALPRAZOLAM 0.25MG TABLETS PETRACO, J 285 SILLS RD EAST PATCHOGUE, NY 11772	15.00 PUREPAC	34.06 09/19/2000 C4 NYGE		NYGE
			(631)475-4404 BP4422957	
AX 0568822 AMITRIPTYLINE 25MG TABLETS SMITH, C 133 QUAKER PATH SETAUKET, NY 11772 SIG: TK 2 TS PO HS	MXLAN 5.00	1.59 09/19/2000 RX NYGE	00000340480005 (631)689-5664 MS0433312	NYGE
10/09/2000 60 0 30 ORIG 10/10/2000 RTS/KHL 60 ORIG RX 0568623 ZYPREXA 2.5MG TABLETS SMITH, C 133 QUAKER PATH SETAUKET, NY 11772 SIG: TK'ONE T PO QAM AND 2 TS PO HS	LILLY 5.00 (	0.42 10/13/2000 RX NYGE	00000343642823 (631)689·5664 MS0433312	A SAN
10/09/2000 MMH/KHL 90 ORIG RX 0588824 DEPAKOTE 250MG TABLETS SMITH, C 133 QUAKER PATH SETAUKET, NY 11772 STG: TWK 1 TP D TTD	ABBOTT	368.52 10/09/2000 RX NYGE	00000343561845 (631)689-5664 MS0433312	NYGE
10/09/2000 90 0 30 ORIG 10/09/2000 MMH/KHL 90 ORIG RX 0568825 ALERAZOLAM 0.25MG TABLETS SMITH, C 133 QUAKER PATH SETAUKET, NY 11772 SIG: TK 1 T DO TTO	15.00 S7	57.47 10/09/2000 C4 NYGE		NYGE
10/09/2000 90 0 30 10/09/2000 90 MMH/KHL 90 ORIG RX 0569555 ORPHENADRINE CITRATE 100MG TABS BIASETTI, J 116 TERRKYVILLE RD PT JEFF STA, NY 11772 STG: TX 1 TO DETERM TO THE STA	5.00 SCHEIN	2.89 10/09/2000 RX NYGE	00000343562926 (631)928-2002 AB0714508	NYGE
0 30 3 TABLETS VILLE RD PT JEF DAILY UTD	5.00 MERCK	37.20 10/13/2000 RX NYGE		ADAN
10/13/2000 SHL/KHL 30 ORIG  RX 0569894 LEVOXYL 0.088MG (88MCG) TABLETS  BIASETTI, J 116 TERRYVILLE ND PT JEFF STA. NY 11772	JONES	53.91 10/13/2000 RX NYGE	00000344249822 (631)928-2002 AB0714508	NYGE
10/16/0000 60				

NYGE		60326952	08/27/2002	124.18	15.00	ORIG	30 OMF/JMF 30	08/26/2002 08/26/2002
NYGE	BR1540536	05593483 (631)331·0715	08/19/2002 RX NYGE	32.02	15.00 LILLY	ORIG L JEFF, NY 11772	30 90 ETS RD PORT	08/19/2002 90 08/19/2002 90 06/19/2002 ( 0660248 ZYPREXA 2.5MG TABI ( 0560248 ZYPREXA 2.5MG TABI
NYGE	R C BR1540536	60236030 60175509 (631)331-0715	08/12/2002 08/19/2002 RX NYGE	8.24 26.78	5.00 0.00 CIBA	ORIG RFLØ01 NY 1177	JAS/JAS 30 JMF/WJD 60 L·XR 200MG TABLE? ELLE TERRE RD POF TS AT BEDTIME	ω ct H v v
NYGE	BR1540536	60431500 (631)331-0715	08/11/2002 C4 NYGE	205.20	15.00 PUREPAC 2 MUM OF 3 TS A DAY.	ORIG NY 1177 EP. MAXI	2 90 0 90  OZ JAS/JAS 90 ORIG  CLONAZEPAM 2MG TABLETS J 540 BELLE TERRE RD FORT JEFF, NY 11772  HS. MAY REPEAT Q HOUR UNTIL ASLEEP, MAXIMUM OF 9 90 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/11/2002 08/11/2002 08/11/2002 K 0658595 CLONA RUBLMSTEIN, J 640 SIG: 1 T HS. MA 08/12/2002
NYGE	AB9247049	05466922 (631)689-7780	08/05/2002 RX NYGE	. 0.58	MERCK 5.00	ORIG BROOK, NY 1	DE TOD DE TENER DE LE CONTROL	08/05/2002 30 0 08/05/2002 MMH/JAS 30 X 0558420 VIOXX 25MG TABLETS BERNISTEIN, M 8 MEDICAL PARK DRIVE SIG: TK ONE T PO D
NYGE	BR1540536	59847989 (631)331.0715	08/05/2002 C4 NYGE	12.99	5.00 PUREPAC 2	ORIG NY 1177	MH/JAS 60  ALFRAZOLAM 0.5MG TABLETS J 640 BELLE TERRE RD FORT JEFF, T PO BID PRN	
NYGE NYGE NYGE	BR1540536	05613611 05368426 60644016 60895888 (631)331-0715	07/18/2002 08/15/2002 09/10/2002 10/05/2002 RX NYGE	100.12 100.12 100.12 100.12	15.00 15.00 15.00 15.00 PUREPAC 2	ORIG RFL001 RFL002 RFL003 NY 1177 T PO BI	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3	07/17/2002 07/17/2002 08/15/2002 08/15/2002 09/09/2002 10/04/2002 X 0657882 CARBA RUBINSTEIN, J 640 SIG: TK I T PO
NYGE	AB0714508	56435343 (631)928-2002	03/03/2002 RX NYGE	3.78	JANSSEN JANSSEN NY 11772	ORIG JEFFERSON STA, NY	JHH/JHH 30 20MG TABLETS RYVILLE RD PORT D	03/03/2002 X 0655153 ACIPH BIASETTI, J 116 T SIG: TK ONE T P
Ħ	2 AB0714508 24161 RPH INIT: JHH	DEA: BW23	XFER FROM STORE	09/04/2002	ENT INIT: XXX	DURING THE DA	SIG: TK 1 T PO QHS ONLY (DO NOT USE DURING THE DAY)  XFER TO STORE: 2216 RX#: 0661390 RPH INIT: JHH E 03/03/2002 30 2 30	SIG: TK 1 T PO XFER TO STORE: 03/03/2002
			C3 NYGE		PUREPAC NY 11772	TABLETS I	ACETAMINOPHEN W/CODEINE #3 TABLETS 116 TERRYVILLE RD PORT JEFFERSON S	X 0636551 ACETA BIASETTI, J 116 T
Plan	DEA# PARTIAL CODE	DOC PHONE#	CTL PLAN D	TOT AMT	DRUG MFR MMENTS CUST AMT	RX CC	G NAMB DOC ADDRESS QTY REFILLS DAYS SUPPLY IND ENT/VER FILL QTY	X NUMBER DRUG NAME DOC NAME DOC AD ORIG DATE QTY ENTER DATE CIND E
TH DATE	PAT PHONE# BIRTH DATE				ADDRESS	PAT	FIRST	PAT LAST NAME
PAGE: 30130	PA		ORE 02216	ed data for st	WALGREENS PURGED DATA FOR STORE	AM	02/14/04	REPORT: RX0920

RX NUMBER DRUG NAME  DOC ANDRESS ORIG DATE QTY REFILLS DAYS SUPPLY RX ORIG DATE CIND ENT/VER FILL QTY REFILL  STEINHOFF , MARGARET 1 PIPER CT. I  STEINHOFF , MARGARET 3 ORIG  11/25/2002	REPORT: RX0920 08/14/04 · PAT LAST NAME FIRST
G NAME DOC ADDRESS DOC ADDRESS DOC ADDRESS DOC ADDRESS DOC ADDRESS DOC REPILLS DA IND ENT/VER FILI  WARGARET  WARGAR	08/
FILLS DA FILL FILL FILL FILL FILL FILL FILL FIL	4/04 · IRST
AND THE 3	
DAYS SUPPLY RX COL FILL QTY REPILL  1 PIPER CT. EA  1 PIPER CT. EA  1 PIPER CT. EA  1 PIPER CT. EA  1 PORT JEFFERSON STA, N  1 PORT JEFFERSON STA, N  1 SUTTE D EAST PATCHO  1 SUTTE D	ъ Z
DRUG MF MMENTS ST PATC ST PATC ST PATC Y 11772 ENT 1 ENT 1 ENT 1 TEVA GUE, N GUE, N GUE, N GUALIT Y 1177	NY WALGREENS PAT ADDRESS
NY N	IS PURGED
TOT AMT 11772  59.90 63.16 63.16 63.16 63.16 63.16 63.16 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	PURGED DATA FOR STORE
CTL PLAN DOC PHON FILL SOLD DATE CLJ  RX NYGE (631) 926  XFER FROM STORE DEA: 07/18/2002 5941: 11/26/2003 6344: 02/27/2003 6349: RX NYGE (631) 92: 08/11/2002 6112: 03/08/2003 6349: RX NYGE (631) 28: 09/10/2002 6112: 09/10/2002 631) 28: 09/10/2003 6546: RX NYGE (631) 92: 11/29/2002 6289 11/26/2003 0766: 01/26/2003 0896: 01/26/2003 0896: 01/26/2003 0896: 01/26/2003 0896: 01/26/2003 0896: 01/26/2003 0896: 01/26/2003 0896: 01/26/2003 0896: 01/26/2003 0896: 01/26/2003 0896: 01/26/2003 0896:	DRE 02216
DOC PHONE# DEA# TE CLAIM # PARTIAL  (631)928-2002 AB0714508 (631)928-2002 AB0714508 ORE DEA: EW2324161 RPH IN: 59411749 62059158 63645165 082407004 (631)928-2002 AB0714508 661121972 64997018 (631)289-9400 AA7319076 650743564 65467084 (631)928-2002 AB0714508 62895158 07666516 08210704 08966094 (631)928-2002 AB0714508	• .
11 631 to 12 631 to 13 631 to 13 631 to 13 631 to 13 631 to 14 631	PAT PHONE#
O6/17/1959  O6/17/1959  NYGE NYGE NYGE NYGE NYGE NYGE NYGE NYG	PAGE: 31357 PAT PHONE# BIRTH DATE

REPORT: RX0920 08/14/04	YN.	WALGREENS PURGED DATA FOR STORE 02216	DATA FOR STO	DRE 02216		PAC	PAGE: 31358
PAT LAST NAME FIRST	2	PAT ADDRESS				PAT PHONE# BIRTH DATE	TH DATE
DRUG NAME DOC ADDRESS		DRUG MFR		CTL PLAN	DOC PHONE#	DEA#	
ORIG DATE QTY REFILLS DAYS SUPPLY ENTER DATE CIND ENT/VER FILL QTY.	函	RX COMMENTS CUST AMT	TOT AMT	FILL SOLD DATE	E CLAIM #	TTAL CODE	PLAN
ĕ	RFL001	5.00	25.37	30	64263160		NYCE
TADDEO, A 475 EAST MAIN STREET PATCHOGUE, NY 11772	NY 11772			Nigh	(631) 447-7560	AT6487210	-
30 1 1	). 1	, 1	n 1	00/10/2000			
RX 0682959 TEGRETOL-XR 200MG TABLETS	OKLO	CIBA	# ! !	RX NYGE			G
SIG: TK 27 SPO QAM AND TK 3 TS PO HS 02/10/2003 150 1 30	MI TT//7				. (001/##/-/000	012/010	
3 AAT/JMP 25	ORIG	15.00	0.41	02/10/2003	07545977	יאנ	NYGE
		PUREPAC	. !	C4 NYGE			1
SIG: TK 1 T PO BID	}					**************************************	
2003 AAT/JMF	ORIG	5.00	1.26	710	63249964		NYGE
RX 0682961 AMITRIPTYLINE 25MG TABLETS TADDEO, R 475 EAST MAIN STREET PATCHOGUE, NY 11772	IS NY 11772	MYLAN		RX NYGE	(631) 447-7560	AT6487210	
SIG: TK I T PO HS . 02/10/2003 30 1 30					•		
õ	ORIG	NOVABRITE 5.00	0.90	02/13/2003	07065878		NYGE
ZASLOW, S 240 PARCHOGUE-YAPHANK RD PARCHOGUE, NY 11772	HUE, NY 1	1772		MI GE	(631).207-1125	AZ5105172	
02/27/2003 120 0 30							
ω	ORIG	15.00	35.00	02/27/2003	08234045	i pd	NYGE
DV DERGAAA UTOVY DEMC TARLETS 92	RELOUI	0.00	191.91	03/03/2003	63363785	n	NYGE
ч		NY 11772			(631)928-2002	AB0714508	
SIG: TX ONE T PO D							
30 4		,	1				
04/01/2003 MMH/JAS 30	ORIG	15.00	63.16	04/03/2003	08230251		NYGE
04/02/2003 WJD/WJD 4	ADDRFL						

Case 1:08-cv-03074-GBD	Document 11-2	Filed 04/25/2008	Page 43 of 43
<u> </u>	Doddinont 11 Z	1 1100 0 1/20/2000	i ago io oi io

`	5.00	1 000	i	17656135768887869828		•	
	, ,	180 000	Subtotal: 1	Total Fillings; 1		• .	
				7 6 8 7 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7			
	5.00	180.000	04/27/04	05191528 65191528	GKB BIASETT, J.	00591-5556-01 G	'41180-02216 PROPRANCIOL 40MG TABLETS YOUR INSURANCE SAVED YOU \$27.79
	45.00	270,000	Subtotal:				
	15.00	90.000	07/26/04	NYGE / 16317851	GKB BIASETTI, J.	00173-0633-02	Your insurance saved you \$327.69
	15.00	90,000	06/15/04	NYGE / 15990307	GKB BIASETTI, J.	00173-0633-02	
•	15.00	90.000	04/27/04	NYGE / 65906528	GKB BIASETTI, J.	00173-0633-02	
•	15.00	90.000	Subtotal:				
'	15.00	90.000	04/27/04	NYGE /	GKB BIASETTY, J.	00006-0110-31	0741178-02216 VIOXX 25MG TABLETS Your insurance saved you \$277.39
	15.00	540.000	Subtotal:	Total Pillings: 2			
	0.00	498.000	03/30/04	NYGE / 64700191	MMT ZASLOW, S.	00078-0337-05	0737505-02216 TRILEPPAL 300MG TABLEPS Your insurance saved you \$1005.6
•	15.00	42.000	03/30/04	NYGE / 64514882	RDP ZASLOW, S.	00078-0337-05	
, - ;	15.00	45.000	Subtotal	Total Fillings: 1			
ЯЯ	2004 01:47	ime: 12/01/:	Report date/time: 12/01/2004 01:47 PM		Prescription Profile 12/02/2002 through 12/01/2004	12/	

Page: 5 Report date/time: 12/01/2004 01:47 PM · order

Walgreens

Confidential Patient Information

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 24<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2008, I caused a copy of the foregoing DEFENDANT'S COMBINED REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STAY, AND IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO REMAND to be served via first-class mail, postage prepaid, on the following:

Ronald R. Benjamin, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF RONALD R. BENJAMIN 126 Riverside Drive, P.O. Box 607 Binghamton, New York 13902

The above address has appeared on the prior papers in this action as the office address of the attorneys for Plaintiffs.

Deponent is over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action.

I further certify under penalty of perjury that under the laws of the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 24, 2008

Jennifer Alpern Hecht